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Abstract

In this thesis an OFDM/ OQAM based system for the next generation terrestrial digital broadcasting standard is proposed and its performance, in terms of spectral efficiency, is compared to OFDM-CD3 performance. As far as coding is concerned, LDPC and BCH codes have been utilized, synchronization and channel estimation have been performed by a superimposed technique and a one tap MMSE equalization scheme has been adopted. As shown by simulation results, an improvement of more than 13% is achieved by OFDM/OQAM system, confirming, in a practical system, the expectation of a significant spectral efficiency improvement.
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Nomenclature

Symbols

$\mathcal{A}$  Active indexes set: $\{i_a : 0 \leq i_a \leq \lfloor \frac{N_a}{2} \rfloor$ or $M - \lfloor \frac{N_a}{2} \rfloor \leq i_a \leq M - 1\}$

$\beta$  Fraction of $\sigma^2_{su}$ assigned to $p_{sup}(k)$

$\Delta$  Fraction of OFDM symbol assigned to the cyclic prefix

$F$  Transmission rate

$T (= \frac{1}{F})$  Elementary period/Sampling period

$F_0$  Staggered stream rate/Data rate

$F_1$  PN sequence rate

$T_1 (= \frac{1}{F_1})$  PN sequence sampling period

$F_s$  Baud rate/Symbol rate

$F_u$  CD3-OFDM subcarriers distance

$T_u (F_u^{-1})$  $MT$

$H(f)$  Channel frequency response

$H_a$  Vector of CFR values on active subcarriers frequencies

$h(kT)$  Channel impulse response
\( J(t) \) prototype function of the IOTA transform

\( j \) Imaginary unit

\( L \) Filter length

\( L_h \) CIR length

\( L_{\nu' \alpha} \) PN sequence period

\( L_{p \alpha} \) Rate converted PN sequence period

\( M \) Subcarriers number

\( N = \frac{M}{2} \)

\( N_\alpha \) Active subcarriers number

\( N_{CP} \) CP length

\( N_{sup} \) \( N_{sup} \) is such that \( L_{p \alpha} = N_{sup} M \)

\( N_V \) Number of virtual carriers

\( p^n(kT), p^n_\alpha(kT_1) \) See definitions (2.6)

\( p_n(kT) \) Rate converted sequence

\( p'_n(kT_1) \) PN sequence

\( r_h(kT) \) Received signal

\( r_h^{(sup)}(kT) \) Superimposed received signal

\( r_h^{(s_u)}(kT) \) Useful received signal

\( r_x(\nu'T_s) \) autocorrelation of \( x(n'T_s) \)

\( r_{x,y}(\nu'T_s) \) crosscorrelation between \( x(n'T_s) \) and \( y(n'T_s) \)
\( \sigma^2_w \) System noise power

\( \sigma^2_{r^{(su)}} \) power

\( \sigma^2_{r^{(sup)}} \) power

\( \sigma_{s_u} \) Average \( s_u(k) \) power/Useful power

\( \sigma^{2}_{p^{sup}} \) \( p^{sup} \) power

\( s_u(k) \) Modulated signal/useful signal

\( T_0 \) \((= \frac{1}{F_0})\) Staggered stream sampling period

\( T_s \) \((= \frac{1}{F_s})\) OFDM symbol duration

\( u_b(nT_0) \) Output of the demodulator corresponding to branch \( b \)

\( w(kT) \) System noise

\( \mathbf{x}_a \) Vector containing ordered active subcarriers indexes

**Operators**

\( \simeq \) Almost equal

\( \ast \) Convolution operator

\( \delta(\cdot) \) Kronecker delta

E[\cdot] Expectation operator

\( ./ \) Element wise division

\( .\ast \) Element wise multiplication

\( \text{Im}[\cdot] \) Imaginary part

\( [x] \) Returns the greater integer less or equal to \( x \)
\[\uparrow N \quad \text{Interpolation by } N\]

\[|\cdot| \quad \text{Absolute value operator}\]

\[\text{mod} \quad \text{Module operator: } a \mod b = a - \lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor b\]

\[\text{Re}[\cdot] \quad \text{Real part}\]

\[\downarrow N \quad \text{Sampling by } N\]

\[\triangleq \quad \text{Definition}\]

\[\prime \quad \text{Transpose conjugate}\]

\[\top \quad \text{Transpose operator.}\]

\[\cup \quad \text{Sets union operator}\]

\[v[i] \quad i\text{th element of the vector } v\]

**Acronyms**

BER Bit Error Rate

CD3 Coded Decision Directed Demodulation

CFR Channel Frequency Response

CIR Channel Impulse Response

COFDM Coded Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing

CP Cyclic Prefix

CP-OFDM Cyclic Prefix-OFDM

DAB Digital Audio Broadcasting

DAC Digital to Analog Converter
DFT  Discrete Fourier Transform
DVB-C  Cable Digital Video Broadcasting
DVB  Digital Video Broadcasting project
DVB-S2  Second generation DVB-S
DVB-S  Satellite Digital Video Broadcasting
DVB-T2  new generation Terrestrial Digital Video Broadcasting
DVB-T  Terrestrial Digital Video Broadcasting
ETSI  European Telecommunications Standards Institute
FEC  Forward Error Correction
FR  Frequency response
HDTV  High Definition Television
IDFT  Inverse Discrete Fourier Transform
i.i.d.  Independent Identically Distributed
IOTA  Isotropic Orthogonal Transform Algorithm
LDPC  Low Density Parity Check
LMMSE  Linear Minimum Mean Square Equalizer
MSE  Mean Square Error
OFDM-CD3  Coded Decision Directed Demodulation OFDM
OFDM  Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing
OFDM/OQAM  Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing /Offset Quadrature amplitude Modulation
OQAM  Offset QAM
PN   Pseudo Noise
POP  Pair of Pilots

$PSD$  Power Spectral Density

S/P  Serial to Parallel conversions

$SNR$  Signal to Noise Ratio, see def. (2.10)

$SNR_{eq}$  Equivalent Signal to Noise Ratio, see def. (2.11)

ZF   Zero Forcing
Chapter 1

Introduction

Technological progress and ever increasing demand of higher quality services has driven the digitalization of broadcasting networks since the 1990’s. Researchers have focused on finding an efficient basedand digital coding, combined with a robust digital modulation and channel coding scheme. To this aim, the coded orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (COFDM) technology has been under study both for digital audio broadcasting (DAB) and digital video broadcasting [1]. In the field of DAB, the work has been completed at the end of the 80’s [2]. Digital video broadcasting standardization has been supported and developed by the Digital Video Broadcasting project (DVB) [3], an industry-led consortium of over 270 broadcasters constituted in 1993. The technical specifications approved by the DVB are submitted to the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), whose ratification make them official European standards. The specifications for the satellite multi-programm TV transmission (DVB-S) [4] have been the first important result achieved by DVB, followed by the specifications for the cable multi-programme TV distribution (DVB-C) [5]. However, due to the large number of users of the television services consumers, results obtained in the field of the terrestrial digital video broadcasting (DVB-T) are the most important. The main issues to deal with were the transmission in the terrestrial VHF/UHF bands, the congestion of the television frequency spectrum, the
deployment of single frequency networks (SFN). The first DVB-T specifications have been completed at the end of 1995 and approved by ETSI in 1997 [6]. The terrestrial channel is characterized by a multi-path propagation, due to natural echoes (reflections and diffractions by different kind of obstacles) or artificial echoes (signals coming from isofrequentional transmitters), that causes a heavy distortion of the transmitted signal. In the presence of such a channel, the use of a single carrier modulation would require a complicated and long equalizer. Hence, COFDM, successfully applied in DAB, has been adopted also in DVB-T. Since its publication, DVB-T has enjoyed a great success, becoming the most popular way to distribute TV in Europe and in many other parts in the world. However, the introduction of MPEG4 and DVB-S2 [7], driving the first adoption of High Definition TV (HDTV) on satellite and cable networks, has pointed out the limit of the DVB-T standard in supporting higher transmission rates. In 2006, then, the terrestrial TV broadcasting community began working on the improvement of the DVB-T standard, aiming at defining a system providing a minimum of 30% capacity increase over DVB-T. The specifications of the new generation terrestrial digital video broadcasting (DVB-T2) are summarized in the document published by DVB project in June 2008 [8], sent to ETSI for standardization. The efficiency improvement of 30 – 50% achieved in the use of the spectrum makes DVB-T2 based systems capable to offer HDTV services. The same basic modulation technique as DVB-T, namely cyclic-prefix OFDM (CP-OFDM), with an extended range of FFT size, guard intervals and constellations, has been adopted by DVB-T2. Moreover, baseband framing and forward-error-correction processes are the same as the second-generation satellite broadcasting system DVB-S2 [7]. The high performance promised by the DVB-T2 and the need of ever higher transmission rates have made an attractive challenge to develop a comprehensive DVB-T2 like system, involving coding, channel estimation, frame synchronization, equalization, based on a modulation technique always addressed as the alternative to the CP-OFDM which would have theoretically provided a better spectral efficiency, namely the Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing/Offset
Quadrature Amplitude Modulation (OFDM/OQAM) [1]. In this thesis a proposal and analysis of such a kind of system is presented, confirming the high potentials of OFDM/OQAM. As explained in the following, the main effort has been made on channel estimation and synchronization task. Indeed, the novelty of estimating the channel in an OFDM/OQAM system through the superimposed correlation-based method [9] is presented in this thesis, along with the analysis of the possibility of exploiting the same superimposed pseudo noise (PN) sequence for symbol synchronization purposes.

OFDM/OQAM is a multichannel system where parallel data transmission is achieved by independently modulating a number of carriers spaced a baud rate apart. Avoiding spectral overlap of the channels is one way to eliminate interchannel interference. However, this may lead to inefficient use of the available spectrum. Higher overall signaling rates can be achieved if spectral overlap is permitted, and some orthogonality relationship is used to minimize the interference between adjacent channels. In 1966 Chang [10] presented general conditions under which bandlimited channels, each carrying a complex data rate of $F_s$, may be spaced $F_s$ apart in frequency with no resultant intersymbol or interchannel interference. The first published parallel data transmission system, meeting Chang’s conditions, and the analysis of its performance over a dispersive transmission medium have been presented by Saltzberg [11] in 1967. The system has been addressed as the first orthogonally multiplex QAM system [12]. In the proposed scheme, parallel real data streams were conveyed, at a rate of $2F_s$, through channels with identical spectral shaping given by full roll-off square root raised cosine pulses, spaced $F_s$ apart. In order to avoid interchannel interference, adjacent channels were in phase quadrature. The proposal of a discrete Fourier transform (DFT) based digital implementation of OFDM/OQAM system, by Hirosaki [12], was followed by further efficient schemes presented by Cariolaro [13] and Siohan [14]. Once identified fast implementations, the research on OFDM/OQAM has been lot focusing on the finding of prototype filters obeying the OFDM/OQAM
prerequisites. To remove the guard interval, used by the classical OFDM, denoted hereinafter as CP-OFDM, the prototype function modulating each sub-carrier must be very well localized both in the time and in the frequency domain, in order to efficiently combat the multi-path effect and limit the inter-symbol and interchannel interferences. Moreover, this function must also guarantee orthogonality between sub-carriers. To this aim, in [1], the authors have presented an orthogonalization algorithm called isotropic orthogonal transform algorithm (IOTA), namely a two step orthogonalization algorithm, attributed to Henri Poincaré, applied to the Gaussian functions. This procedure provides well localized time-frequency prototype functions [15]. Among them, the one which leads to a perfect symmetry between the time and frequency axis is called prototype function of the IOTA transform and is denoted by $J(t)$. Its localization measure is very close to the optimal [16]. Orthogonality conditions on prototype filters have been presented for some times only on continuous time pulses of infinite length. However a natural consequence of a DFT-based implementation is the truncation and discretization of the pulse shape, causing a loss of orthogonality. In [14] conditions of discrete orthogonality have been established with respect to the polyphase components of the prototype filter, assumed to be symmetrical and with arbitrary length. Infinite length, discretized $J(t)$ function satisfies discrete orthogonality conditions [16]. Although analytical results derived in the subsequent chapters are valid for any prototype filter satisfying orthogonality conditions, final results, based on simulations, are obtained utilizing the discrete truncated $J(t)$ prototype filter. Due to the truncation, orthogonality conditions are no more satisfied, but experimental results show that the system achieves high efficiency level with a filter length $L$ equal to $L = 4M$, where $M$ is the number of subcarriers.

The drawback of the OFDM/OQAM system is an intrinsic inter symbol interference [17], due to the fact that orthogonality conditions hold only on the real field. Hence, classical OFDM/QAM channel estimation methods cannot be directly applied to OFDM/OQAM based systems. Solutions have been proposed
for preamble based channel estimation in [18], [19] and [20]. Results concerning the performance of the estimation technique presented in [20] show a gain of 2 dB with respect to the CP-OFDM for an IEEE802.22 channel model. However, the proposed preamble based estimation technique requires the hypothesis of a static channel, that is not the case of many wireless scenarios. Scattered-based channel estimations for OFDM/OQAM have also been presented in [17] and [19]. In [19] a technique denoted as pair of pilots (POP) has been proposed. Unfortunately, POP performance is poor, as reported in [19]. In [17], the authors propose to cancel the first order intrinsic interference by determining one of the 8 first order neighbor sub-carriers of the scattered pilot by the others. The drawback is that the computed value doesn’t belong to the transmitted constellation, forcing to apply some mathematical relation to evenly distribute their energy.

As already anticipated, to overcome the effect of the intrinsic interference and preserve the promised increase in spectral efficiency, the novelty of estimating the channel through the superimposed correlation-based method [9] is presented in this thesis. The technique has already been studied for the CP-OFDM system [21], but never applied to OFDM/OQAM based systems. In this thesis the case of spectrum mask constraints, which prevents the technique from being directly applied, has been analyzed. Indeed, conventional superimposed correlation method is based on the straightforward superposition of a low power pseudo noise (PN) sequence to the useful transmitted signal and the recovery of the channel values through a filtering by the matched PN sequence. Unfortunately, the white spectrum of the PN sequence may not fulfill realistic spectrum mask constraints, forcing to a rate conversion before the superposition, as is the case of the specifications considered in this work. The convenience of exploiting the rate converted superimposed sequence for symbol synchronization purpose is also studied in this thesis. In [22], clock, symbol, frequency and frame synchronization are obtained by a complex time correlation between the modulated received signal and the time representation of the scattered pilots sequence. The authors assume that the transmitted frame is structured as a typical CP-OFDM frame
with subcarriers reserved for insertion of known pilots (scattered pilots) dedicated to channel estimation purposes. However, from the previous considerations about channel estimation techniques, it doesn’t seem a proper assumption. As far as equalization is concerned in OFDM/OQAM based systems, an interference cancelation method has been recently proposed in [23], aiming at avoiding performance floor noticed when a zero forcing (ZF) equalization is used for channels with large delay spread and/or when using higher order constellations. The system proposed in this thesis includes per branch linear equalizers with coefficients computed according to the minimum mean square error criterion (MMSE) [24]. For the 16k operational mode (16384 subcarriers) of the DVB-T2 [8] standard and the fixed rooftop reception channel, considered in this thesis, one tap equalization has showed to be the proper solution. Equalizers coefficients computation requires the knowledge of the system noise power $\sigma_w^2$, unknown at the receiver side. An interference cancelation loop is presented in this thesis in order to estimate $\sigma_w^2$. Equalization performance presented in [24] are based on the perfect channel knowledge, which is not the case of the system proposed in this thesis. The effectiveness of the technique combined with the proposed channel estimation technique has been validated through simulation results. As far as the encoding part of the proposed scheme is concerned, all the specifications are based on DVB-T2 forward error correction (FEC) encoding, which consists of a concatenation of t-error correcting BCH outer code and low density parity check (LDPC) inner code [8].

The aim of this work is to analyze, through experimental results, the functionality of the whole system, rather than focusing on theoretical results regarding single blocks, often based on the unrealistic hypothesis of ideal conditions, never satisfied in practice. The performance of the system is measured in terms of achievable throughput (useful bit rate at BER=$10^{-6}$) per Hz. We will refer to this quantity as spectral efficiency. As a means of comparison, spectral efficiency of coded decision directed demodulation OFDM (OFDM-CD3) based system [25] is also derived. The channel estimation scheme presented in [25] is based on a
channel estimation loop exploiting the error correction capability of a FEC decoder. Although DVB-T2 standard provides for 8 pilot patterns, OFDM-CD3 has been addressed as, since it does not require the transmission of a comb of pilot tones, it is one of the best in terms of spectral efficiency, yielding an improvement between 5% to 15% [25].

This work is an effort towards the practical realization of an OFDM/OQAM based system. Indeed, technology and architecture enhancements, allowing much more Digital Signal Processing (DSP) operations with the same, or even lower, power consumption and silicon area, have made OFDM/OQAM a technology whose feasibility can be seriously considered.

The thesis is organized as follows. An overview of both OFDM/OQAM and CD3-OFDM based systems is given in Chapter 2, in order to highlight the interaction between the building blocks and give comprehensive reference schemes to facilitate the understanding of the subsequent development. In Chapter 3 system specifications are introduced, from coding to channel model. Indeed, since results are mainly based on simulations, references to environment specifications are necessary throughout the development of the whole thesis. In Chapter 4 the discrete baseband OFDM/OQAM is introduced. Based on the formulation of the demodulated signal, quantities related to the prototype filter needed for the analysis of the interference and the equalization task are identified and computed. Moreover, the number of active subcarriers is computed, in order to transmit a signal with a spectrum satisfying limitations given by the mask specification and clearly quantify the gain achieved thanks to the better frequency localization of the \( J(t) \) pulse shape with respect to the rectangular pulse shape used in CP-OFDM. In Chapter 5 the superimposed channel estimation technique is presented. The rate-converter needed to satisfy mask constraints is also presented and performance results in terms of per carrier mean square error (MSE) are given for superimposed sequence powers corresponding to the optimum ones. Results validating the opportunity of exploiting the superimposed rate converted sequence for synchronization purposes are also presented. Chapter 6 is dedicated
to the linear minimum mean square equalization formulation and analysis. In
[24] a frequency domain approach have been presented to compute equalization
coefficients. In this thesis a time domain approach, based on the quantities intro-
duced in Chapter 4, is utilized. The interference cancelation loop for noise power
estimation is also described. In Chapter 7 results in terms of spectral efficiency
of both the system proposed in this thesis and the CD3-OFDM are presented.
Chapter 2

OFDM/OQAM and CD3-OFDM systems overview

In this chapter high level block diagrams of both OFDM/OQAM and CD3-OFDM systems are presented. A first description of blocks functionality is provided in order to highlight interactions between main parts of the systems and supply a comprehensive reference scheme supporting the insight given in subsequent chapters. Most of the notations and definitions utilized hereinafter are also introduced.

2.1 OFDM/OQAM system

Fig. 2.1 shows the high level block diagram of the discrete baseband equivalent of the OFDM/OQAM system.

An incoming bit-stream feeds a first functional block which performs the forward error correction (FEC) encoding, given by the concatenation of a BCH and LDPC code. Then, bit interleaving, multiplexing and mapping to QAM constellation are performed. These operations are better specified in Chapter 3. The resulting stream is filled with zeros in positions corresponding to the virtual subcarriers, in order to suitably shape the spectrum of the transmitted signal. Data bearing subcarriers are addressed as active subcarriers and their number is denoted by \( N_a \). The rate of the resulting signal is defined as transmission rate and
2.1 OFDM/OQAM system

Figure 2.1: High level OFDM/OQAM system block diagram.

is denoted by $F$. Its inverse, $T = 1/F$, is called *elementary period* or *sampling period*. Serial to parallel conversion, denoted by S/P, produces $M$ low rate QAM symbols streams, where $M$ is the number of system subcarriers. Low rate QAM streams are denoted by the vectorial function $A(n'T_s)$, whose $b$th component, corresponding to $b$th branch stream, is denoted by $A_b(n'T_s)$. The set of values assumed by $A(n'T_s)$ at any instant $n'T_s$ is called OFDM symbol. $T_s$ is called OFDM symbol duration and corresponds to the time required to transmit one OFDM symbol. $F_s = T_s^{-1}$ is called *baud rate* or *symbol rate*. The staggering operation consists in splitting the incoming low rate QAM symbols in their real and imaginary part, yielding a double rate, $F_0 = 2F_s$, real stream, defined as *data stream*. $F_0$ is defined as data rate and its inverse is denoted by $T_0 = 1/F_0$. Operations performed on data streams to produce the modulated signal $s_u(kT)$ are called OQAM modulation. Namely, $M$ subcarriers, spaced $F_s$ apart, are modulated by the data streams filtered by a prototype filter satisfying OQAM
2.1 OFDM/OQAM system

orthogonality conditions, e.g. $I(t)$ function. Only $N_a$ subcarriers are active and the set of indexes

$$\mathcal{A} \triangleq \{i_a : f_{i_a} = i_a F_s \text{ is the frequency of an active subcarrier}\}$$

(2.1)
is called active indexes set. Here

$$\mathcal{A} = \{i_a : \{0 \leq i_a \leq \lfloor \frac{N_a}{2} \rfloor \} \cup \{M - \lfloor \frac{N_a}{2} \rfloor \leq i_a \leq M - 1\}\}$$

(2.2)

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the operator that returns the greater integer less or equal to $x$ and $\cup$ is the sets union operator. The $N_a$ length vector containing the ordered indexes belonging to $\mathcal{A}$ is also introduced. It is denoted by

$$x_a \triangleq \left[0, 1, \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{N_a}{2} \right\rfloor, M - \left\lfloor \frac{N_a}{2} \right\rfloor, \ldots, M - 1\right]$$

(2.3)

and $x_a[i]$ denotes the $i$th element of the vector. In general, vectors are denoted in boldface and, given the vector $v$, by $v[i]$ is addressed the $i$th element. To address QAM symbols modulating active subcarriers, the $N_a$ dimensional vectorial function $A_a(n'T)$ is introduced, whose $i$th component, $A_{a,i}(n'T)$, is defined as follows

$$A_{a,i}(n'T) \triangleq A_{x_a[i]}(n'T).$$

(2.4)

The modulated signal $s_u(kT)$, defined as useful signal, is a ciclostationary random process whose sampling rate is equal to the transmission rate $F$. Its power, averaged over the ciclostationary period, is denoted by $\sigma_{s_u}^2$ and called useful power. A low power sequence $p_{\text{sup}}(kT)$ is superimposed to the useful signal $s_u(k)$. $p_{\text{sup}}(k)$ is obtained by the scaling of $p_n(kT)$, which is the result of the rate conversion of a CAZAC PN sequence [9], denoted by $p'_n(kT_1)$. $p_n(kT)$ is called rate converted sequence. The rate and the sampling period of $p'_n(kT_1)$ are denoted by $F_1$ ($< F$) and $T_1 = F_1^{-1}$, respectively. The rate conversion ensures that the transmitted signal complies with spectrum mask requirements [26] specified in order to reduce spectral overlapping between transmission signals generated by different transmitters. The scaling factor $\sqrt{\alpha}$, applied to the rate converted sequence $p_n(kT)$,
determines the power of \( p_{\text{sup}}(k) \), denoted by \( \sigma_{p_{\text{sup}}}^2 \). Since the development is in terms of fraction of the useful power assigned to \( p_{\text{sup}}(k) \), the parameter \( \beta \) is introduced. \( \beta \) is related to \( \alpha \) through the relation

\[
\sigma_{p_{\text{sup}}}^2 = \alpha \sigma_{p_n}^2 = \beta \sigma_{s_u}^2. \tag{2.5}
\]

\( \beta \) is a crucial parameter not only for the estimation and synchronization performance, but also for the whole system efficiency. Indeed, increasing of \( \beta \) on the one hand improves estimation performances, on the other hand degrades the equivalent signal to noise ratio, which is defined in (2.11). Hence, it is worthwhile increasing \( \beta \) if the estimation improvement overcomes the equivalent signal to noise decreasing. In this thesis three \( \beta \) values are reported, each corresponding to the best experimentally verified performance for the three constellations provided by the DVB-T2 standard [8], namely 16QAM, 64QAM, 256QAM. The PN sequence \( p'_n(kT_1) \) and the rate converted \( p_n(kT) \) are periodic signals whose periods are denoted by \( L_{p'_n} \) and \( L_{p_n} \), respectively. Two signals, \( P'_n(kT_1) \) and \( P_n(kT) \), utilized at the receiver side, are here introduced, since their definition is strictly tied to \( p'_n(kT_1) \) and \( p_n(kT) \)

\[
p'_n(kT_1) = \begin{cases} 
  p'_n(-kT) & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq L_{p'_n} - 1 \\
  0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
p_n(kT) = \begin{cases} 
  p_n(kT) & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq L_{p_n} - 1 \\
  0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\tag{2.6}
\]

The signal obtained by the superposition of \( s_u(kT) \) and \( p_{\text{sup}}(kT) \), denoted by \( s(kT) \), is transmitted through the time and frequency selective channel, whose impulse response (CIR) is denoted by \( h(kT) \) and whose channel frequency response (CFR) is denoted by \( H(f) \). The CIR average energy is normalized to 1, namely \( E[\sum_{k=0}^{L_h-1} |h(k)|^2] = 1 \), where \( E[\cdot] \) is the expectation operator and \( L_h \) is the channel length. The \( M \) length vector \( \mathbf{H} \) is also introduced, whose \( i \)th element \( \mathbf{H}[i] \) is defined as

\[
\mathbf{H}[i] = H(iF_s), \quad i = 0, \ldots, M - 1. \tag{2.7}
\]
2.1 OFDM/OQAM system

The channel model used for the simulations is specified in Chapter 3. The received signal $r_h(kT)$ consists of a part due to the channel filtering of $s_u(kT)$, denoted by $r_h^{(su)}(kT)$ and called *useful received signal*, and a part due to $p_{sup}(kT)$, denoted by $r_h^{(sup)}(kT)$ and called *superimposed received signal*. Altogether

$$r_h(kT) = r_h^{(su)}(kT) + r_h^{(sup)}(kT).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

Due to the channel energy normalization, the following relations hold

$$\sigma_{r_h^{(su)}}^2 = \sigma_{s_u}^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

$$\sigma_{r_h^{(sup)}}^2 = \sigma_{p_{sup}}^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

where $\sigma_{r_h^{(su)}}^2$ and $\sigma_{r_h^{(sup)}}^2$ denote the statistical powers of $r_h(kT)$ and $r_h^{(sup)}(kT)$. The system noise $w(kT)$, is modeled as a discrete independent identically distributed zero mean circularly symmetric Gaussian random process [9]. Namely every sample is a complex valued Gaussian random variable whose real and imaginary part are uncorrelated and have the same power. The power of $w(k)$ is denoted by $\sigma_w^2$. The system signal to noise ratio $SNR$ is defined as follows

$$SNR \triangleq \frac{\sigma_{r_h^{(su)}}^2 + \sigma_{r_h^{(sup)}}^2}{\sigma_w^2} = \frac{(1 + \beta)\sigma_{s_u}^2}{\sigma_w^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

where the independence between $r_h^{(sup)}(k)$ and $r_h^{(su)}(k)$, (2.9), (2.5) have been utilized.

The *equivalent* signal to noise ratio ($SNR_{eq}$) is defined as

$$SNR_{eq} \triangleq \frac{\sigma_{r_h^{(su)}}^2}{\sigma_w^2} = \frac{\sigma_{s_u}^2}{\sigma_w^2} = \frac{SNR}{(1 + \beta)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

where (2.9) has been utilized. As stated above, at a given SNR, an increasing of $\beta$ causes a decreasing of $SNR_{eq}$.

The sum of $r_h(kT)$ and $w(kT)$ is denoted by $r(kT)$ and the following holds

$$r(kT) = r_h(kT) + w(kT) = r_h^{(su)}(kT) + r_h^{(sup)}(kT) + w(kT),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.12)
where (2.8) has been used. The first operation performed at the receiver side is the symbol synchronization. It is accomplished by the peak detection of the signal produced by the filtering of \( r(kT) \) by the matching of \( p^*_n(kT) \), see def. (2.6). Once synchronized, \( r(kT) \) undergoes a rate conversion, which yields the signal \( r_{est}(kT_1) \), exploited for channel estimation. The rate conversion of \( r^{sup}_h(kT) \) produces a signal that is roughly the cyclic convolution between \( p^{sup}_n(kT_1) \) and the channel \( \overline{h}_a(kT_1) \), whose frequency response (FR) is denoted by \( \overline{H}_a(f) \) and is related to \( H(f) \) in the following way

\[
\overline{H}_a(f) = H(f), \quad 0 \leq |f| < \frac{F_1}{2}
\]  

where \( F_1 = T_1^{-1} \) and \( | \cdot | \) is the absolute value operator. If \( F_1 \) is such that the set \( \{f : 0 \leq f < F_1\} \) includes the range of frequencies corresponding to the active subcarriers, the estimation of the CFR on the active subcarrier is obtained, as explained in Chapter 5. Namely, if \( \mathbf{H}_a \) is a vector whose elements are defined as

\[
\mathbf{H}_a[i] \triangleq H(x_a[i]F_s), \quad i = 1, \ldots N_a
\]  

the estimate \( \hat{\mathbf{H}}_a \) of \( \mathbf{H}_a \) is obtained. From \( \hat{\mathbf{H}}_a \), the impulse response \( \hat{h}_a(kT) \) is derived as the truncation to \( L_h \) of the result of the following operation

\[
\hat{h}_a(kT) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=0}^{N_a-1} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_a[i] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{M} x_a[i]k}, \quad k = 0, \ldots, L_h - 1
\]  

which is the truncated inverse discrete Fourier transform (IDFT) of the signal obtained by filling \( \hat{\mathbf{H}}_a \) with zeros at positions corresponding to the virtual carriers, denoted by \( \hat{\mathbf{H}} \).

In order to cancel the contribute of \( r^{(sup)}_h(kT) \) from \( r_h(kT) \), see (2.8), \( \hat{h}_a(kT) \) is utilized by the PN-CANC block to compute

\[
\hat{r}^{(sup)}_h(kT) = p_n(kT) * \hat{h}_a(KT),
\]  

where \( * \) denotes the convolution operator. The signal resulting from the cancellation is denoted by \( \hat{r}(kT) \) and is given by

\[
\hat{r}(kT) = r^{(su)}_h(kT) + p_n(kT) * (\hat{h}_a(kT) - h(kT)) + w(kT)
\]  

(2.17)
The sum of the cancelation error, defined as
\[ w_{\text{canc}}(kT) \triangleq p_n(kT) \ast (\hat{h}_a(kT) - h(kT)), \quad (2.18) \]
and the noise \( w(kT) \) is denoted by \( w_{\text{eq}}(kT) \), namely
\[ w_{\text{eq}}(kT) \triangleq w_{\text{canc}}(kT) + w(kT). \quad (2.19) \]
Hence, \( \hat{r}(kT) \) can be written as
\[ \hat{r}(kT) = r^{(s_a)}_h(kT) + w_{\text{eq}}(kT). \quad (2.20) \]
\( \hat{r}(kT) \) feeds the demodulation block, which yields \( M \) output signals corresponding to the demodulation of each transmitted subcarrier. The vectorial function \( u(nT_0) \) denotes the demodulator output, whose \( b \)th component is denoted by \( u_b(nT_0) \). Separating the parts related to the demodulation of \( r^{(s_a)}_h(kT) \) and \( w_{\text{eq}}(kT) \), denoted by \( \overline{u}(nT_0) \) and \( \overline{w}(nT_0) \), respectively, \( u(nT_0) \) can be expressed as
\[ u(nT_0) = \overline{u}(nT_0) + \overline{w}(nT_0). \quad (2.21) \]
The next block removes values corresponding to the virtual carriers, yielding the signal \( u_{a}(nT_0) \), whose \( i \)th component \( u_{a,i}(nT_0) \) corresponds to the active subcarrier \( x_{a}[i] \), see def. (2.3). Setting \( b = x_{a}[i] \), \( u_{a,i}(nT_0) \) can be expressed as
\[ u_{a,i}(nT_0) = \overline{u}_b(nT_0) + \overline{w}_b(nT_0) \quad (2.22) \]
As explained in Chapter 4, under the hypothesis of flat subchannels and static channel during the \( n \)'th OFDM symbol, denoted by \( H^{(n')} \), \( \overline{w}_b(nT_0) \) is a function of \( H^{(n')}[b'] \) and \( A_{b'}(n') \) for \( b' = b - 1, b, b + 1 \) and \( n' = [n/2] - 1, [n/2], [n/2] + 1 \).
The equalization is performed as a per branch linear minimum mean square error (LMMSE) equalizers. LMMSE coefficients are computed by the EQ-COEFF block. Theoretically, to compute the exact coefficients, \( \hat{H}_a \) and \( \sigma_w^2 \) are needed, where \( \sigma_w^2 \) is the power of \( \overline{w}_b \), see (2.21) and (2.22), equal for every branch. In practise, \( \hat{H}_a \) and an estimate of \( \sigma_w^2 \) are used. The estimation of \( \sigma_w^2 \) is initialized
to the system noise power corresponding to the error free transmission condition. According to this initial value, a first series of equalization coefficients is computed. \( u_a(nT_0) \) is, then, equalized and the subsequent operations of untagging, serializing and decoding produce the output bit stream. By re-encoding and re-mapping the output bit stream the estimate, \( \hat{A}_a \), of \( A_a \), see def. (2.4), is obtained. The NOISE ESTIMATION block utilizes \( \hat{H}_a \) and \( \hat{A} \) to compute an estimate, \( \hat{u} \), of \( \bar{u} \), and estimates the noise power as, see (2.22),

\[
\hat{\sigma}_w^2 = \frac{1}{N_a} \sum_{i=0}^{N_a-1} |u_{x_a[i]}(nT_0) - \hat{u}_{x_a[i]}(nT_0)|^2
\]

This is the value utilized to compute equalization coefficients that will yield the correct output bit stream. The theoretical per carrier minimum MSE, denoted by \( J_{\text{min}} \), is also computed by the EQ-COEFF block and the information is used to set the initial likelihoods needed for LDPC decoding purposes.

## 2.2 CD3-OFDM system

Coded decision directed demodulation (CD3) is a channel estimation scheme for coherent demodulation of OFDM presented in [25]. The technique is based on a loop exploiting the error correction capability of the FEC decoder. The OFDM system utilizing the CD3 estimation technique is addressed as CD3-OFDM. In Fig. 2.2, a simplified block diagram of CD3-OFDM system is drawn. Definitions given in the previous section are assumed to be known. To facilitate analogies and comparison between the systems, the same notation has been utilized for main parameters and signals. If needed, superscripts OQAM and CD3 will be used. Encoding, interleaving and QAM constellations are the same as the OFDM/OQAM system. After the virtual carrier insertions, whose number is denoted by \( N_V \), the classical OFDM modulation with cyclic prefix insertion (CP) is performed through digital DFT implementation [27]. Namely, the \( M \) low rate QAM symbols streams, \( A(n'T_s) (T_s^{CD3} > T_s^{OQAM}) \), produced by the S/P block,
Figure 2.2: High level CD3-OFDM system block diagram.

feed a block which performs the IDFT of the symbols at its input. After the insertion of the CP, namely the replica of a fraction $\Delta$ of the IDFT block output, the signal undergoes a S/P conversion, which yields the signal $s(kT)$. CP length is denoted by $N_{CP}$ and is equal to $N_{CP} = \Delta M$. The difference between the symbol duration and the time needed to the transmission of the cyclic prefix is denoted by $T_u$, namely

$$T_u = T_s - N_{CP}T = MT. \quad (2.24)$$

System subcarriers are spaced $F_u = T_a^{-1}$ apart. $s(kT)$ is transmitted through a time variant and frequency selective channel. In the previous section the dependence on the time of the CIR was been implicit. Instead, to explain CD3 receiver, an explicit time reference is needed. Hence, under the hypothesis of a static channel over the time needed to transmit one OFDM symbol, $T_s$, the CIR is denoted by $h(n'T_s, kT)$. Namely, $h(n'T_s, kT)$ is the CIR value during the transmission of the $n'$th OFDM symbol. Its Fourier transform is denoted by $H(n', f)$ and its values on active subcarriers are given by $H(n, x_a[i]F_u)$, $i = 0, \ldots, N_a - 1$, where
we recall that $x_a$ is the ordered set of active indexes (2.3). The notation $H_a^{(n')}[i]$ is also introduced to denote the vector of CFR values on active subcarriers, namely the $i$th element of the vector, denoted by $H_a^{(n')}[i]$, is defined as

$$H_a^{(n')}[i] \triangleq H(n, x_a[i]F_u). \quad (2.25)$$

Estimates of $H(n, x_a[i]F_u)$ and $H_a^{(n)}$ are denoted by $\hat{H}(n, x_a[i]F_u)$ and $\hat{H}(n, x_a[i]F_u)$, respectively.

The filtering of $s(kT)$ by the channel is denoted by $r_h(kT)$. At the receiver side, a circularly symmetric complex Gaussian noise, denoted by $w(kT)$, is added. The system SNR is defined as

$$SNR = \frac{\sigma_s^2}{\sigma_w^2} \quad (2.26)$$

where $\sigma_s^2$ and $\sigma_w^2$ are statistical powers of $s(kT)$ and $w(kT)$, respectively. The signal $r(kT)$, given by the sum of $r_h(kT)$ and $w(kT)$, undergoes a S/P conversion. After the CP removal, the signal is demodulated through the DFT block, yielding the vectorial signal $y(n'T_s)$, whose $b$th component corresponds to the branch $b$ and is denoted by $y_b(nT_s)$. The virtual carriers removal block yields the signal denoted by $y_a(n'T_s)$, whose $i$th component is defined as follows

$$y_{a,i}(nT_s) = y_{x_a[i]}(nT_s). \quad (2.27)$$

Denoted by $A_a(n'T_s)$ the subvector of $A(n'T_s)$ corresponding to the active indexes and by $\bar{w}_a(n'T_s)$ the result of noise demodulation on active subcarriers, $y_a(n'T_s)$ can be expressed as [25]

$$y_a(n'T_s) = H_a^{(n')} \cdot A_a(n'T_s) + \bar{w}_a(n'T_s), \quad (2.28)$$

where $\cdot$ denotes the element wise multiplication. Given the estimate $\hat{H}_a^{(n')}$, equalization block performs the following operation

$$z(n'T_s) = y_a(n'T_s)/\hat{H}_a^{(n')}, \quad (2.29)$$

where $/$ denotes the element wise division. The CD3 channel estimation works as follows:
2.2 CD3-OFDM system

- let’s denote as $n_0 T_s$ an initial reference time, namely the beginning of a basic data unit transmission, e.g. a frame [8];

- a reference sequence, denoted by $R_a(n_0 T_s)$, is transmitted at time $n_0 T_s$;

- the corresponding received signal, after the demodulation and the virtual carrier removal, is given by (2.28)

$$y_a(n_0 T_s) = H_a^{(n_0)} \cdot R_a(n_0 T_s) + w_a(n_0 T_s).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.30)

- at time $n_0 T_s$ is computed the channel estimate that will be used at time $(n_0 + 1) T_s$, as the delay element of Fig. 2.2 shows;

- being $R_a(n_0 T_s)$ known at the receiver, the channel estimate is obtained as

$$\hat{H}_a^{(n_0 + 1)} = y_a(n_0 T_s) / R_a(n_0 T_s);$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.31)

- at time $n' T_s = (n_0 + 1) T_s$, unknown data, $A_a(n' T_s)$, are sent and the corresponding received signal,

$$y_a(n' T_s) = H_a^{(n')} \cdot A_a(n' T_s) + w_a(n' T_s),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.32)

is equalized exploiting the channel estimate derived at the previous time, see (2.31). Namely,

$$z_a(n' T_s) = y_a(n' T_s) / \hat{H}_a^{(n')}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.33)

- from $z_a(n T_s)$, the output bit stream, $b^{(n)}$ is obtained by deinterleaving and decoding.

- $b^{(n')}$ is, then, re-encoded and re-mapped, yielding an estimate of the sent data, $\hat{A}_a(n T_s)$, whose reliability depends on the error correction power of the code;

- the channel estimate, which will be utilized next, is obtained as

$$\hat{H}_a^{(n'+1)} = y_a(n' T_s) / \hat{A}_a(n' T_s)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.34)
Unlike other OFDM channel estimation techniques [28], CD3-OFDM does not require the transmission of a comb of pilots (transmitted values known at the receiver) tones for channel estimation. Hence, an improvement in spectral efficiency, typically between 5% and 15% is achieved [25].
Chapter 3

DVB-T2 overview

In this chapter a brief overview of the DVB-T2 standard is given in order to highlight specifications addressed in this work. In particular, after introducing the key DVB standards, the main parameters concerning the DVB-T2 specifications, coding, interleaving and multiplexing will be described in detail.

3.1 Introduction

DVB-T2 is a digital terrestrial transmission system developed by the DVB Project. It is the most advanced such system in the world and introduces the latest modulation and coding techniques to enable highly efficient use of valuable terrestrial spectrum for the delivery of audio, video and data services to fixed, portable and mobile devices. The DVB-T2 specification was approved by the DVB Steering Board at the end of June 2008. On approval it was released as a DVB Blue-Book [8] and sent to ETSI (European Telecommunications Standards Institute) for publication as a formal standard. Vendors are already working on the design of DVB-T2 equipment, with the first prototypes expected by the end of 2008. In parallel, further work will be required within the DVB Project and elsewhere on the creation of implementation guidelines, validation testing, etc.

Towards the end of 1991, broadcasters, equipment manufacturers and regulatory bodies in Europe came together to discuss the formation of a group that
would oversee the introduction of digital TV. That group, which became known as the European Launching Group (ELG), realised that a consensus-based framework, through which all of the key stakeholders could agree on the appropriate technologies to be used, would benefit everybody involved. A Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) was drawn up, setting out the basis on which competitors in the marketplace would come together in a spirit of trust and mutual respect. The MoU was signed in September 1993 by all ELG participants, and the DVB Project was born. A key report from the Working Group on Digital Television was also central to setting out important concepts that would go on to shape the introduction of digital TV in Europe and far beyond.

The first phase of DVB’s work involved establishing standards to enable the delivery of digital TV to the consumer via the ”traditional” broadcast networks. Thus, the three key standards during this phase were DVB-S for satellite networks, DVB-C for cable networks and DVB-T for terrestrial networks. In addition to these, a whole range of supporting standards were required covering areas such as service information (DVB-SI), subtitling (DVB-SUB), interfacing (e.g. DVB-ASI), etc... Interactive TV, one of the key advances enabled by the switch from analogue to digital, required the creation of a set of return channel standards and the Multimedia Home Platform (MHP), DVB’s open middleware specification. DVB then moved to embrace network convergence through the development of standards using innovative technologies that allow the delivery of DVB services over fixed and wireless telecommunications networks (e.g. DVB-H for mobile TV, DVB-IPTV). The latest phase of DVB’s work is a natural progression into areas such as a system for content protection and copy management (DVB-CPCM), and looking at how DVB devices operate in the environment of the home network. DVB is dedicated to constant innovation to keep up with both technological developments and market requirements. Thus we have already seen the scope of DVB-H expanded into the S-band through DVB-SH and, before that, the publication of DVB-S2. 2008 sees the developments of next generation terrestrial (DVB-T2).
3.2 DVB-T2 specifications

DVB-T is the most widely deployed DTT (Digital Terrestrial Television) system worldwide, with services on air in over thirty countries and more than 60 million receivers deployed. Economies of scale have pushed receiver prices downwards constantly, with basic receivers now readily available at a retail price of less than EUR 30.

In the years ahead, in countries where DVB-T services have become well-established, regulators will be keen to achieve full Analogue Switch-Off (ASO), and in the process release valuable UHF and VHF spectrum for other purposes. Some countries have already completed ASO.

One option at ASO will be the introduction of new services using DVB-T2 technology. This could enable, for example, the roll out of new nationwide multiplexes offering multichannel HDTV services, or perhaps innovative new data-casting services.

More than sixty DVB member companies have contributed to the work, which generated hundreds of meeting days and thousands of emails. As with all DVB standards, the final specification is based on a carefully considered set of Commercial Requirements. Key requirements included an increase in capacity and improved robustness. (Current projections suggest that capacity gains of around 45% will be achieved.) The new standard was also required to be able to reuse currently existing receive antennas and downlinks.

DVB-T2 is not designed to replace DVB-T; rather the two standards will coexist in many markets for many years.

3.2 DVB-T2 specifications

As with its predecessor, DVB-T2 uses OFDM (orthogonal frequency division multiplex) modulation, with a large number of sub-carriers delivering a robust signal. Also in common with DVB-T, the new specification offers a range of different modes making it a very flexible standard. In the realm of error correction,
DVB-T2 uses the same coding that was selected for DVB-S2. LDPC (Low Density Parity Check) coding combined with BCH (Bose-Chaudhuri-Hocquengham) coding offers excellent performance in the presence of high noise levels and interference, resulting in a very robust signal. Several options are available in areas such as the number of carriers, guard interval sizes and pilot signals, so that the overheads can be minimised for any target transmission channel. A new technique, called Rotated Constellations, provides significant additional robustness in difficult channels. Also, a mechanism is provided to separately adjust the robustness of each delivered service within a channel to meet the required reception conditions (e.g. in-door antenna/roof-top antenna). This same mechanism allows transmissions to be tailored such that a receiver can save power by decoding only a single programme rather than a whole multiplex of programmes. DVB-T2 also specifies a transmitter diversity method, known as Alamouti coding, which improves coverage in smallscale single-frequency networks. Finally, DVB-T2 has defined a way that the standard can be compatibly enhanced in the future through the use of Future Extension Frames.

In this section the simplified single input stream DVB-T2 scheme shown in Fig. 3.1 is addressed in order to get rid of details that are useless for the aim of this work. A bit stream that is the result of preprocessing operations on one or more MPEG-2 Transport Stream(s) and/or one or more Generic Stream(s) is the input of the system.

![Figure 3.1: Simplified high level DVB-T2 block diagram.](image)
3.3 FEC encoding

The system output is typically a single signal to be transmitted on a single RF channel (SISO system). Optionally, the system can generate a second set of output signals, to be conveyed to a second set of antennas in what is called MISO transmission mode. The SISO transmission mode is addressed here.

![LDPC block](image)

Figure 3.2: LDPC block.

3.3 FEC encoding

A concatenated coding scheme is used both in OQAM and CD3-OFDM systems. Namely, the forward error correction (FEC) encoding consists of a concatenation of t-error correcting BCH outer code and low density parity check (LDPC) inner code, [26]. Fig. 3.2 shows the FEC coded block, whose length has been denoted as \( n_{ldpc} \). BCHFEC and LDPCFEC denote parity bits added after BCH and LDPC processing, respectively. \( N_{bch}(n_{ldpc}), K_{bch}(n_{ldpc}) \) denote the BCH (LDPC) coded and information word lengths, respectively. Only the LDPC block part denoted as useful bits will be considered in the spectral efficiency computation as reported in Section 7.1. Its length has been denoted as \( N_{u} \). The standard provides two LDPC block lengths, namely \( n_{ldpc} = 64800 \) (normal block) and \( n_{ldpc} = 16200 \) (short block). Here, the normal block length is considered. The corresponding parameters are reported in Table 3.1. Table 3.2, in particular, highlights the parameters utilized in our simulations. 3.2.

BCH and LDPC details can be found in the DVB-T2 Blue Book [8].
3.4 Interleaving

Table 3.1: FEC encoding parameters for normal LDPC block \((n_{ldpc} = 64800)\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LDPC rate</th>
<th>(K_{bch} (= N_u))</th>
<th>(k_{ldpc})</th>
<th>(BCH t - correction)</th>
<th>(N_{bch} - K_{bch})</th>
<th>(n_{ldpc})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>32208</td>
<td>32400</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>64800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>38688</td>
<td>38880</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>64800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>43040</td>
<td>43200</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>64800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>48408</td>
<td>48600</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>64800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>51648</td>
<td>51840</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>64800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>53840</td>
<td>54000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>64800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2: Encoding parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LDPC rate</th>
<th>(K_{bch} (= N_u))</th>
<th>(k_{ldpc})</th>
<th>(BCH t - correction)</th>
<th>(N_{bch} - K_{bch})</th>
<th>(n_{ldpc})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>32208</td>
<td>32400</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>64800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 Interleaving

The output of the LDPC encoder is bit interleaved, namely a parity interleaving followed by a column twist interleaving is performed.

In the parity interleaving part, parity bits are interleaved following the relations

\[ u_i = \lambda_i \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < k_{ldpc} \text{ (information bits are not interleaved)} \]

\[ u_{k_{ldpc} + 360t + s} = \lambda_{k_{ldpc} + Q_{ldpc} - t} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < 360, \ 0 \leq t < Q_{ldpc} \]

where \(\lambda_i\) are incoming bits, \(u_i\) are interleaved bits and \(Q_{ldpc}\) is defined by the Table 3.3.

According to Table 3.2, in our case \(Q_{ldpc} = 25\).

Parameters concerning the column twist interleaving configuration for each modulation format and \(n_{ldpc} = 64800\) are specified in Table 3.4.
Table 3.3: $Q_{ldpc}$ specification for different coding rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LDPC Rate</th>
<th>$Q_{ldpc}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.4: Column twisting interleaving configuration for $n_{ldpc} = 64800$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modulation</th>
<th>Rows($N_r$)</th>
<th>Columns($N_c$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16QAM</td>
<td>8100</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64QAM</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256QAM</td>
<td>4050</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the column twist interleaving part, the data bits $u_i$ from the parity interleaver are serially written into the column-twist interleaver column-wise, and serially read out row-wise as shown in Figure 3.3, where the write start position of each column is twisted by $t_c$ according to Table 3.5.

![Graphical representation of column twist interleaver.](image)

Figure 3.3: Graphical representation of column twist interleaver.

Mathematical formulation of the column twisting interleaving is given in [8].

### 3.5 Demux & map block

Each FECFRAME, which is the sequence of 64800 bits produced by the encoding and interleaving operations, is mapped to a coded and modulated FEC block by first de-multiplexing the input bits into parallel cell words and then mapping these cell words into constellation values. The number of output data cells and the effective number of bits per cell $\eta_{MOD}$ is defined by Table 3.6.
3.5 Demux & map block

Table 3.5: Column twisting interleaving parameters for $n_{ldpc} = 64800$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mod.</th>
<th>$N_c$</th>
<th>column twisting parameter $t_c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$Col.$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16QAM</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64QAM</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256QAM</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.6: Parameters for bit mapping into constellation ($n_{ldpc} = 64800$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modulation mode</th>
<th>$\eta_{MOD}$</th>
<th>Number of output data cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>256QAM</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64QAM</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16QAM</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The bit-stream from the bit interleaver $v_d$ is de-multiplexed into $N_{substreams}$ sub-streams, according to the value given by Table 3.7.

The de-multiplexing operation is graphically represented in Fig. 3.4.

Table 3.8 gives the correspondence between input bits and stream bits for a 16QAM constellation. Similar tables for 64QAM and 256QAM can be found in [8].

Each cell word produced by the demultiplexer is modulated using either 16-QAM, 64-QAM or 256-QAM constellations to give a point $z_q$ whose exact real

Table 3.7: Sub-streams number for different constellations ($n_{ldpc} = 64800$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modulation</th>
<th>$N_{substreams}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16QAM</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64QAM</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256QAM</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.4: Graphical representation of de-multiplexing operation.

Table 3.8: Parameters for de-multiplexing of bits to sub-streams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modulation format</th>
<th>16QAM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input bit—number, $d_i \mod N_{\text{substreams}}$</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output bit—number, $e$</td>
<td>7 1 4 2 5 3 6 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and imaginary parts are written in the Blue Book. In order to normalize the constellation power, each point $z_q$ is multiplied by a normalization factor. The correct complex cell value $f_q$ is obtained as indicated in Table 3.9.

### 3.6 OFDM generation

The OFDM generation is a block performing the operations shown in Fig. 3.5. The incoming stream is formed by blocks of $N_a$ active cells which will modulate $N_a$ active subcarriers. The blocks are padded with pilot tones (values known

Table 3.9: Normalization operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modulation</th>
<th>Normalization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16QAM</td>
<td>$f_q = \frac{z_q}{\sqrt{10}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64QAM</td>
<td>$f_q = \frac{z_q}{\sqrt{42}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256QAM</td>
<td>$f_q = \frac{z_q}{\sqrt{170}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.7 Spectrum constraints

The result is a block of $M$ total subcarriers, which undergoes a serial to parallel conversion and an IFFT transform. After duplicating a fraction $\Delta$ of the produced block, a parallel to serial conversion is performed. The sampling period $T$ depends on the system clock period and, once the number of active subcarriers have been fixed, its value is constrained by the available bandwidth. Here, 8 Mhz channels are addressed. The Digital to Analog Converter (DAC) yields the baseband equivalent of the transmitted signal. A translation to a nominal center frequencies $f_c$ belonging to VHF and UHF band is performed to obtain the transmitted signal. Parameters regarding the 8Mhz, 16k extended mode are specified in Table 3.10.

### Table 3.10: 8Mhz, 16k extended mode parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total subcarriers ($M = 2N$)</td>
<td>16384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active subcarriers ($N_a$)</td>
<td>13921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic prefix fraction ($\Delta$)</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary period ($T$)</td>
<td>$\frac{7}{512}$ µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVB-T channel bandwidth</td>
<td>8 Mhz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

at the receiver side exploited for channel estimation purpose) and virtual cells (zeros). The result is a block of $M$ total subcarriers, which undergoes a serial to parallel conversion and an IFFT transform. After duplicating a fraction $\Delta$ of the produced block, a parallel to serial conversion is performed. The sampling period $T$ depends on the system clock period and, once the number of active subcarriers have been fixed, its value is constrained by the available bandwidth. Here, 8 Mhz channels are addressed. The Digital to Analog Converter (DAC) yields the baseband equivalent of the transmitted signal. A translation to a nominal center frequencies $f_c$ belonging to VHF and UHF band is performed to obtain the transmitted signal. Parameters regarding the 8Mhz, 16k extended mode are specified in Table 3.10.

### 3.7 Spectrum constraints

Spectrum masks for cases where a transmitter for digital terrestrial television is co-sited with, and operating on a channel adjacent to, a transmitter for analogue television are given in Fig. 3.6 and Table 3.11 for the following analogue television systems [3]:

![Figure 3.5: OFDM generation](image)

- Pilot & Virtual insertion
- S/P
- IFFT
- Guard interval insertion
- P/S
- DAC

Table 3.10: 8Mhz, 16k extended mode parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total subcarriers ($M = 2N$)</td>
<td>16384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active subcarriers ($N_a$)</td>
<td>13921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic prefix fraction ($\Delta$)</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary period ($T$)</td>
<td>$\frac{7}{512}$ µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVB-T channel bandwidth</td>
<td>8 Mhz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- G/PAL/A2 and G/PAL/NICAM;
- I/PAL/NICAM;
- K/SECAM and K/PAL;
- L/SECAM/NICAM.

The masks shown in Fig. 3.6 cover the minimum protection needed for analogue television.

Figure 3.6: Spectrum masks for a digital terrestrial television transmitter operating on a lower or higher adjacent channel to a co-sited analogue television transmitter.
3.8 Channel Model

The performance of the system has been simulated with the channel model for fixed reception $F_1$ [3]. The Ricean fading channel (F1) defined in the DVB-T specification ETSI EN 300 744 is used to describe the fixed outdoor rooftop antenna reception conditions. The channel does not include any Doppler and should therefore be considered as a snapshot of a real time variant channel. The model has 21-taps. The model is defined by:

$$y(t) = \rho_0 x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_i e^{-j\theta_i} x(t - \tau_i) \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{N} \rho_i^2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.1)$$

where

- the first term before the sum represents the line of sight ray;

- $N$ is the number of echoes equals to 20;

- $\theta_i$ is the phase shift from scattering of the $i$th path - listed in Table 1;

- $\rho_i$ is the attenuation of the $i$th path - listed in Table 3.12;

- $\tau_i$ is the relative delay of the $i$th path - listed in Table 3.12.

### Table 3.11: Breakpoints for spectrum mask

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breakpoints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G/PAL / NICAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rel. freq. MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+10.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.8 Channel Model

The Ricean factor $K$ (the ratio of the power of the direct path (the line of sight ray) to the reflected paths) is given as

$$K = \frac{\rho_0^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_i^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

Here, the Ricean factor $K = 10$ dB has been used. Hence

$$\rho_0 = \sqrt{\frac{10}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_i^2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

Table 3.12: Relative power, phase and delay values for $chF_1$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>$\rho_i$</th>
<th>$\tau_i$ [\mu s]</th>
<th>$\theta_i$ [rad]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.057 862</td>
<td>1,003 019</td>
<td>4,855 121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.176 809</td>
<td>5,422 091</td>
<td>3,419 109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.407 163</td>
<td>0,518 650</td>
<td>5,864 470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.303 585</td>
<td>2,751 772</td>
<td>2,215 894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.258 782</td>
<td>0,602 895</td>
<td>3,758 058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.061 831</td>
<td>1,016 585</td>
<td>5,430 202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.150 340</td>
<td>0,143 556</td>
<td>3,952 093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.051 534</td>
<td>0,153 832</td>
<td>1,093 586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.185 074</td>
<td>3,324 866</td>
<td>5,775 198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.400 967</td>
<td>1,935 570</td>
<td>0,154 459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.295 723</td>
<td>0,429 948</td>
<td>5,928 383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.350 825</td>
<td>3,228 872</td>
<td>3,053 023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.262 909</td>
<td>0,648 831</td>
<td>0,628 758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.225 894</td>
<td>0,073 883</td>
<td>2,128 544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.170 996</td>
<td>0,203 952</td>
<td>1,099 463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.149 723</td>
<td>0,194 207</td>
<td>3,462 951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.240 140</td>
<td>0,924 450</td>
<td>3,664 773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.116 587</td>
<td>1,381 320</td>
<td>2,833 799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.221 155</td>
<td>0,640 512</td>
<td>3,334 290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.259 730</td>
<td>1,368 671</td>
<td>0,393 889</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In this chapter a brief overview of the discrete baseband equivalent of the OFDM/OQAM transceiver is given. In order to support and facilitate interference analysis, functions $A(\delta n, \delta b)$ and $G(\delta n, \delta b)$, see def. (4.17) and (4.18), are introduced and their values are computed for the discrete truncated $I(t)$ function. Due to the digital implementation of the transceiver, $A(\delta n, \delta b)$ turns out to be a useful discrete alternative to the ambiguity function [29]. The number of active subcarriers yielding a transmitted signal with a spectrum satisfying mask constraints described in Chapter 3 is also computed.

4.1 OFDM/OQAM tranceiver

In Fig. 4.1, three blocks of the scheme shown in Fig. 2.1 are reported. Operations performed by the blocks are better explained in the following, in order to clearly introduce all the specifications needed to completely define the transceiver. The OFDM/OQAM modulator is denoted by OQAM MOD and is enclosed by a thicker box. In Fig. 4.2 other three blocks of Fig. 2.1 are reported. All three
blocks belong to the receiver, but only the OFDM/OQAM demodulator, denoted by OQAM DEMOD, will be addressed in this section. The following hypothesis and definitions hold:

- \( N \) is defined as half of the system subcarriers, \( M \);

- \( a(kT) \) are incoming symbols drawn from a QAM constellation;

- S/P conversion produces \( 2N \) low rate QAM streams, denoted by \( A_b(n'T_s) \), \( b = 0, \ldots, 2N - 1 \). The real and imaginary part of \( A_b(n'T_s) \) are denoted by \( A^R_b(n'T_s) \) and \( A^I_b(n'T_s) \), respectively. For any \( b, b \in [0, \ldots, 2N - 1] \), \( A_b(n'T_s) \) is modeled as a zero mean stationary stochastic process with independent
identically distributed (i.i.d.) samples. Moreover, real and imaginary parts are assumed to be independent and with equal power. Given any two stationary random processes $x(n'T_s)$ and $y(n'T_s)$, we denote by $r_x(n'T_s)$ and $r_{x,y}(n'T_s)$ the autocorrelation and crosscorrelation between $x(n'T_s)$ and $y(n'T_s)$, respectively. Hence, for any $b$ the following hold

$$r_{A_b}(n'T_s) \triangleq E[A_b(n'T_s)A_b^*((n'-\nu')T_s)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \nu' \neq 0 \\ \sigma_A^2 & \text{for } \nu' = 0 \end{cases}$$

(4.1)

$$r_{A^R_b}(n'T_s) \triangleq E[A_b^R(n'T_s)A_b^R((n'-\nu')T_s)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \nu' \neq 0 \\ \frac{\sigma_A^2}{2} & \text{for } \nu' = 0 \end{cases}$$

(4.2)

$$r_{A^I_b}(n'T_s) \triangleq E[A_b^I(n'T_s)A_b^I((n'-\nu')T_s)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \nu' \neq 0 \\ \frac{\sigma_A^2}{2} & \text{for } \nu' = 0 \end{cases}$$

(4.3)
Moreover, independence between different branches is assumed. Hence, the following hold for any couple of branches $b_1, b_2$, with $b_1 \neq b_2$

\[
 r_{A_{b_1},A_{b_2}}(\nu T_s) \triangleq E [A_{b_1}(nT_s)A_{b_2}^*(n' - \nu)T_s] = 0 \quad \forall \nu' \quad (4.4)
\]

\[
 r_{A_{b_1}^R,A_{b_2}^R}(\nu' T_s) \triangleq E [A_{b_1}^R(n'T_s)A_{b_2}^R((n' - \nu)T_s)] = 0 \quad \forall \nu' \quad (4.5)
\]

\[
 r_{A_{b_1}^I,A_{b_2}^I}(\nu') \triangleq E [A_{b_1}^I(n'T_s)A_{b_2}^I((n' - \nu)T_s)] = 0 \quad \forall \nu' \quad (4.6)
\]

\[
 r_{A_{b_1}^R,A_{b_2}^I}(\nu' T_s) \triangleq E [A_{b_1}^R(n'T_s)A_{b_2}^I((n' - \nu')T_s)] = 0 \quad \forall \nu' \quad (4.7)
\]

\[
 r_{A_{b_1}^I,A_{b_2}^R}(\nu' T_s) = r_{A_{b_1}^R,A_{b_2}^I}(\nu' T_s) \quad \forall \nu' \quad (4.8)
\]

- $Re[\cdot]$ and $Im[\cdot]$ denote the real and imaginary part operators. The DATA STAGGERING block produces $2N$ real streams, $a_{b_1}(nT_0)$, $b = 0, \ldots, 2N-1$, by taking, first, the real and imaginary part of incoming streams and, then, serializing them. Namely for $b = 0, \ldots, 2N-1$,

\[
 a_{b}(2nT_0) = A_{b}^R(nT_s) \\
 a_{b}((2n+1)T_0) = A_{b}^I(nT_s). \quad (4.9)
\]

From (4.1)–(4.8), for $b = 0, \ldots, 2N-1$

\[
 r_{a_{b}}(\nu T_s) \triangleq E [a_{b}(nT_0)a_{b}((n - \nu)T_0)] = \begin{cases} 
 0 & \text{for } \nu \neq 0 \\
 \frac{\sigma_a^2}{2} & \text{for } \nu = 0
\end{cases} 
\]

\[
 (4.10)
\]

and for any couple of branches $b_1, b_2$, with $b_1 \neq b_2$

\[
 r_{a_{b_1},a_{b_2}}(\nu) \triangleq E [a_{b_1}(nT_s)a_{b_2}((n - \nu)T_s)] = 0 \quad \forall \nu. \quad (4.11)
\]
- $a_b(nT_0), \ b = 0, \ldots, 2N - 1$, feed the OFDM/OQAM modulator. For any $b, b = 0, \ldots, M - 1$, $\varphi_b(nT_0)$, is defined as follows

$$\varphi_b(nT_0) = \frac{\pi}{2}(b + n) - \pi bn \quad (4.12)$$

according to the efficient modulator/demodulator implementation proposed in [14]. The first operation of the modulator is to multiply each incoming real stream $a_b(nT_0)$ by $e^{j\varphi_b(nT_0)}$.

- ↑ $N$ denotes the interpolation operation. As showed in Fig. 4.1, $a_b(nT_0), b = 0, \ldots, 2N - 1$, are interpolated and, then, filtered by $g(kT)$, yielding the signals $z_b(nT), b = 0, \ldots, 2N - 1$;

- $g(kT)$ is called prototype filter. It is obtained by scaling, sampling and truncating the continuous time $I(t)$ function, namely the prototype function of the IOTA transform, [16]. $I(t)$ is shown in Fig. 4.3 in linear and logarithmic scale, where $\tau = 1/\sqrt{2}$. $I(t)$ is an eigenfunction of the Fourier transform, namely its Fourier transform is equal to itself. Hence, Fig. 4.3 can be interpreted as the graphic of the $I(t)$ Fourier transform by substituting $t$ with $f$ on $x$ axis. $g(kT)$ is defined as

(a) Linear scale

(b) Logarithmic scale

Figure 4.3: $I(t)$ in linear and logarithmic scale.
OFDM/OQAM tranceiver

\[
g(kT) \triangleq \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{N}} j\left(k \frac{\tau}{N}\right), \quad k = -\frac{L}{2}, \ldots, \frac{L}{2} - 1,
\]

(4.13)

where \( L \) is the prototype filter length. We define \( g_{b,n}(kT), b = 0, \ldots, 2N, \) as

\[
g_{b,n}(kT) \triangleq j^{b+n} e^{j2\pi b\frac{k}{N}} g((k - nN)T)
\]

(4.14)

where \( j \) is the imaginary unit. If \( g(kT) \) has an infinite length, the following holds

\[
\text{Re} \left[ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} g_{b_1,n_1}(kT)g'_{b_2,n_2}(kT) \right] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } (b_1,n_1) = (b_2,n_2) \\ 0 & \text{for } (b_1,n_1) \neq (b_2,n_2) \end{cases}
\]

(4.15)

where \( ' \) denotes the transpose conjugate operator, [14], [16]. Conditions (4.15) are called orthogonality conditions. Thanks to the time localization of \( f(t) \), if \( L \) is large enough, (4.15) are almost satisfied. Here, \( L = 4M \) is the value chosen for the filter length.

We, now, rewrite \( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} g_{b_1,n_1}(kT)g'_{b_2,n_2}(kT) \) in order to introduce the function \( A(\delta n, \delta b) \). Namely, from the definition (4.14) follows

\[
\sum_{k'=-\infty}^{+\infty} g_{b_1,n_1}(k'T)g'_{b_2,n_2}(k'T)
\]

\[
= j^{b_1-b_2} j^{n_1-n_2} \sum_{k'=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{j2\pi (f_{b_1}-f_{b_2})k'T} g((k' - n_1N)T)g((k' - n_2N)T)
\]

\[
= j^{b_1-b_2} j^{n_1-n_2} (-1)^{(b_1-b_2)n_1} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{j\frac{2\pi}{N}(b_1-b_2)k} g(kT)g((k + (n_1 - n_2)N)T)
\]

(4.16)

where (4.23) and the variable change \( k = k' - n_1N \) have been utilized. If \( N \) is even, the function \( A(\delta n, \delta b) \) is defined as

\[
A(\delta n, \delta b) \triangleq \sum_{k'=-\infty}^{+\infty} g((k' - \delta n \frac{N}{2})T)g((k' + \delta n \frac{N}{2})T)e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}(-\delta b)k'T}
\]

\[
(j = k' - \delta n \frac{N}{2}) \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{j\frac{2\pi}{N}\delta bk} g(kT)g((k + \delta nN)T).
\]

(4.17)
4.1 OFDM/OQAM tranceiver

Being $g(kT)$ even, it implies that $g((k - \delta nN/2)T)g((k + \delta nN/2)T)$ is also even. Hence, $A(\delta n, \delta b)$ is real, being the Fourier transform of $g((k - \delta nN/2)T)g((k + \delta nN/2)T)$ computed in $\frac{\delta b}{2NT}$. From (4.15) and (4.16), by defining $G(\delta n, \delta b)$ as

$$G(\delta n, \delta b) \triangleq j^{\delta b} j^{\delta n} j^{-\delta b\delta n} A(\delta n, \delta b),$$

(4.18)

the following holds

$$Re [G(\delta n, \delta b)] = Re \left[ (-1)^{\delta b\delta n} j^{\delta b} j^{\delta n} j^{-\delta b\delta n} A(\delta n, \delta b) \right]$$

$$= (-1)^{\delta b\delta n} (-1)^{\delta b\delta n} Re \left[ \sum_{k=\infty}^{+\infty} g_{b+n}(kT)g_{b,n}(kT) \right]$$

$$= \begin{cases} 
1 & (\delta n, \delta b) = (0,0) \\
0 & (\delta n, \delta b) \neq (0,0) 
\end{cases}.$$  

(4.19)

Hence,

$$A(\delta n, \delta b) = 0 \text{ for } \delta b, \delta n \text{ even, } (\delta b, \delta n) \neq (0,0)$$

(4.20)

$$G(\delta n, \delta b) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \delta b, \delta n \text{ even, } (\delta b, \delta n) \neq (0,0) \\
purely imaginary & (\delta b, \delta n) \neq (0,0) \mod 2 
\end{cases}.$$  

(4.21)

where mod is the module operator, namely $a \mod b = a - \lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor b$. Note that $N$ even implies that $M$ is divisible by 4. Hence

$$G(\delta n, \delta b + M) = j^{\delta b + 4(M/4)} j^{\delta n} j^{-(\delta b + 4(M/4))\delta n} A(\delta n, \delta b + M)$$

$$= j^{\delta b} j^{\delta n} j^{-\delta b\delta n} A(\delta n, \delta b)$$

$$= G(\delta n, \delta b)$$

(4.22)

In Table 4.1 and 4.2, values of $A(\delta n, \delta b)$ and $G(\delta n, \delta b)$ are reported for $L = 4M$. Values less than $10^{-3}$ have been approximated to 0;

- for any $b, b = 0, \ldots, 2N - 1$, $f_b$ is defined as

$$f_b \triangleq \frac{1}{MT}$$

(4.23)

and represents the carrier spacing. The modulated signal $s_u(kT)$ is obtained by summing all signals produced by multiplying $z_b(nT)$ by $e^{j2\pi f_b kT}$, $b = 0, \ldots, 2N - 1$;
### Table 4.1: \( A(\delta n, \delta b) \) for \( L = 4M \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \delta n )</th>
<th>-4</th>
<th>-3</th>
<th>-2</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \delta b )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4.2: \( G(\delta n, \delta b) \) for \( L = 4M \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \delta n )</th>
<th>-4</th>
<th>-3</th>
<th>-2</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \delta b )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>j0.01</td>
<td>-j0.018</td>
<td>j0.01</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>j0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-j0.001</td>
<td>j0.01</td>
<td>-j0.038</td>
<td>+j0.228</td>
<td>-j0.441</td>
<td>j0.228</td>
<td>-j0.038</td>
<td>j0.01</td>
<td>-j0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.441</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>j0.441</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>j0.018</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>j0.001</td>
<td>j0.01</td>
<td>j0.038</td>
<td>j0.228</td>
<td>j0.441</td>
<td>j0.228</td>
<td>j0.038</td>
<td>j0.01</td>
<td>j0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>j0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>j0.002</td>
<td>j0.01</td>
<td>j0.018</td>
<td>j0.01</td>
<td>j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the received signal, denoted by \( r_h^{(s_u)}(kT) \), is the result of the filtering of \( s_u(kT) \) by the channel \( h(kT) \).

before demodulating \( r_h^{(s_u)}(kT) \), the noise \( w_{eq}(kT) \) is added, yielding the signal

\[
\hat{r}(kT) \triangleq r_h^{(s_u)}(kT) + w_{eq}(kT).
\] (4.24)

\( w_{eq}(kT) \) is modeled as a zero mean random process with i.i.d. complex circularly symmetric gaussian samples with \( \mathbb{E}[|w_{eq}(kT)|^2] = \sigma^2_{w_{eq}} \). Hence,

\[
r_{w_{eq}}(\tau T) \triangleq \mathbb{E}[w_{eq}(kT)w_{eq}^*(((k-\tau)T)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \tau \neq 0 \\ \frac{\sigma^2_{w_{eq}}}{2} & \text{for } \tau = 0 \end{cases}
\] (4.25)

\[
r_{w_{eq}^R}(\tau T) \triangleq \mathbb{E}[w_{eq}^R(kT)w_{eq}^{R*}((k-\tau)T)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \tau \neq 0 \\ \frac{\sigma^2_{w_{eq}}}{2} & \text{for } \tau = 0 \end{cases}
\] (4.26)

\[
r_{w_{eq}^I}(\tau T) \triangleq \mathbb{E}[w_{eq}^I(kT)w_{eq}^{I*}((k-\tau)T)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \tau \neq 0 \\ \frac{\sigma^2_{w_{eq}}}{2} & \text{for } \tau = 0 \end{cases}
\] (4.27)

\[
r_{w_{eq}^R,w_{eq}^I}(\tau T) \triangleq \mathbb{E}[w_{eq}^R(kT)w_{eq}^I((k-\tau)T)] = 0 \quad \forall \tau
\] (4.28)

\[
r_{w_{eq}^I,w_{eq}^R}(\tau T) = r_{w_{eq}^R,w_{eq}^I}(\tau T) \quad \forall \tau.
\] (4.29)

Note that the cancelation operation shown in Fig. 2.1, is not shown in Fig. 4.2. The effect of the cancelation is taken into account summing the equivalent noise, \( w_{eq}(kT) \), defined in (2.19) as \( w_{eq}(kT) = w_{canc}(kT) + w(kT) \). If a perfect cancelation is assumed, \( w_{eq}(kT) = w(kT) \), where \( w(kT) \) is the system noise defined in Chapter 2;
- \( \hat{r}(kT) \) is the input of the OFDM/OQAM demodulator. As shown in Fig. 4.2, the demodulator input is split in 2N branches. Each branch \( b \) performs a multiplication by \( e^{-j2\pi f_bT} \) and a filtering by \( g(kT) \), see def. (4.13), yielding the signal \( x_b(kT) \), \( b = 0 \ldots 2N - 1 \). The \( b \)th output of the demodulator \( u_b(nT_0) \), \( b = 0 \ldots 2N - 1 \) is, then, given by the sampling of \( x_b(kT) \), namely

\[
u_b(nT_0) = x_b(nN T).
\]

(4.30)

In Fig. 4.2 sampling operation is denoted by \( \downarrow N \).

### 4.1.1 Signal analysis

In this section relations between \( u_b(nT_0) \), \( b = 0, \ldots, 2N - 1 \), and \( a_b'(kT) \), \( b' = 0, \ldots, 2N - 1 \), are derived.

We rewrite eq. (2.22), by replacing the subscript \( i \) with the corresponding branch \( b = x_i[i] \),

\[
u_b(nT_0) = \overline{u}_b(nT_0) + \overline{w}_b(nT_0), \quad b = 0, \ldots, 2N - 1.
\]

(4.31)

where \( \overline{u}_b(nT_0) \) and \( \overline{w}_b(nT_0) \) are the signals resulting by the demodulation of \( r_h^{(su)}(kT) \) and \( w_{eq}(kT) \), respectively. \( \overline{u}_b(nT_0) \), \( b = 0, \ldots, 2N - 1 \), can be expressed as

\[
\overline{u}_b(nT_0) = \sum_{b'=0}^{2N-1} u_{b'b}(nT_0)
\]

(4.32)

where \( u_{b'b}(nT_0) \) is the contribute to \( \overline{u}_b(nT_0) \) given by the input branch \( b' \). Fig. 4.4 represents the relation between the \( b' \)th input of the modulator, \( a_b'(nT) \), and the \( b \)th output of the demodulator \( u_{b'b}(nT_0) \). The scheme is equivalent to the one

![Block diagram](image)

Figure 4.4: Block diagram representing the relation between \( a_b(nT_0) \) and \( u_{b'b}(nT_0) \) reported in Fig. 4.5. As indicated in the figure, we define \( v(kT) \) as
4.1 OFDM/OQAM tranceiver

\begin{equation}
4.33
\end{equation}

where the symmetry of \(g(kT)\) has been utilized. If the channel length is smaller enough with respect to \(2N\) (we recall that in our case \(2N = 16384\)), the following holds

\begin{equation}
4.34
\end{equation}

where \(\cong\) means almost equal. Hypothesis (4.34) implies flat subchannel condition. Indeed, (4.33) becomes

\begin{equation}
4.35
\end{equation}

where, we recall from (2.7) that

\begin{equation}
4.36
\end{equation}

Figure 4.5: Equivalent scheme representing the relation between \(a_b(nT_0)\) and \(u_{y'b}(nT_0)\).
is the DFT of the CIR \( h(kT) \) over \( 2N \) samples. As shown in Fig. 4.5, \( u_{b'}(nT_0) \) can be written as

\[
u_{b'}(nT_0) = e^{-j\varphi_{b'}(nT_0)} \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_{b'}(n_1T_0)e^{j\varphi_{b'}(n_1T_0)}v((nN - n_1N)T) = H[b]e^{-j\varphi_{b'}(nT_0)} \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_{b'}(n_1T_0)e^{j\varphi_{b'}(n_1T_0)}
\]

\[
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} g(kT)e^{j\frac{2\pi}{2N}(b'-b)k} g((k + (n1 - n)N)T)
\]

\[
(\delta n = n_1 - n) \quad H[b] \quad +\infty \quad \sum_{\delta n = -\infty}^{\infty} a_{b'}((\delta n + n)T_0)e^{j\varphi_{b'}((n+\delta n)T_0)}e^{-j\varphi_{b'}(nT_0)} A(\delta n, b' - b)
\]

(4.37)

where the definition (4.17) has been utilized. From definition (4.12) follows

\[
e^{j\varphi_{b'}((\delta n+n)T_0)}e^{-j\varphi_{b'}(nT_0)} = e^{j\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(b'+\delta n)-\pi b'(\delta n+n) - \frac{\pi}{2}(b+n)+\pi bn\right)}
\]

\[
= j^{b'-b}j^{\delta n}(b'-b)n(-1)^{(b'-b)n}(-1)^{b\delta n},
\]

(4.38)

Hence, by setting \( \delta b = b' - b \), (4.38) becomes

\[
u_{b'}(nT_0) = H[b] \quad +\infty \quad \sum_{\delta n = -\infty}^{\infty} a_{b+\delta b}((n + \delta n)T_0)(-1)^{b\delta n}j^{\delta b}j^{\delta n}j^{-\delta \delta n} A(\delta n, \delta b)
\]

\[
= H[b] \quad +\infty \quad \sum_{\delta n = -\infty}^{\infty} a_{b+\delta b}((n + \delta n)T_0)(-1)^{b\delta n}G(\delta n, \delta b)
\]

(4.39)
where the definition (4.18) has been utilized. From (4.32), for \( b = 0, \ldots, 2N - 1 \),

\[
\overline{u}_b(nT_0) = \sum_{b' = 0}^{2N-1} u_{bb'}(nT_0)
\]

\[
= \sum_{b = -b}^{2N-1-b} \mathbf{H}[b] \sum_{\delta_n = -\infty}^{+\infty} a_{b+\delta b}((n + \delta n)T_0) (-1)^{b\delta n} G(\delta n, \delta b).
\]

\[
= \mathbf{H}[b] a_b(nT_0)
\]

\[
+ \mathbf{H}[b] \sum_{\delta b \neq 0} \sum_{\delta_n = -\infty}^{+\infty} a_{b+\delta b}((n + \delta n)T_0) (-1)^{b\delta n} G(\delta n, \delta b).
\]

(4.40)

The intrinsic interference is defined as [17]

\[
I(b, n) \triangleq \sum_{\delta b \neq 0} \sum_{\delta_n = -\infty}^{+\infty} a_{b+\delta b}((n + \delta n)T_0) (-1)^{b\delta n} (-1)^{b\delta n} G(\delta n, \delta b).
\]

From (4.21) it follows

\[
\text{Re}[I(b, n)] = 0, \quad \forall b, \forall n
\]

(4.41)

In case of ideal channel, i.e. \( h(kT) = \delta(kT) \), where \( \delta(\cdot) \) is the Kronecker delta, from (4.19) it follows

\[
\text{Re}[\overline{u}_b(nT_0)] = \sum_{b = -b}^{2N-1-b} \sum_{\delta_n = -\infty}^{+\infty} a_{b+\delta b}((n + \delta n)T_0) (-1)^{b\delta n} \text{Re}[G(\delta n, \delta b)].
\]

(4.42)

This means that, in case of ideal channel, a perfect reconstruction of the transmitted signal is obtained. In case of frequency selective channel, instead,

\[
\text{Re}[\overline{u}_b(nT_0)] = \text{Re}[\mathbf{H}[b]] a_b(nT_0)
\]

\[
- \text{Im}[\mathbf{H}[b]]
\]

\[
+ \sum_{\delta b = -4}^{+4} \sum_{\delta_n = -4}^{+4} a_{(b+\delta b) \mod 2N}((n + \delta n)T_0) (-1)^{b\delta n} \text{Im}[G(\delta n, \delta b)]
\]

(4.43)
4.2 Active subcarriers computation

\[
\text{Im}[\bar{u}_b(nT_0)] = \text{Im}[H[b]]a_b(nT_0) + \text{Re}[H[b]] + 4\sum_{\delta n=-4}^{+4} \sum_{\delta b=0}^{+4} a_{b+\delta b} \text{mod } 2N ((n + \delta n)T_0)(-1)^{b\delta n} \text{Im}[G(\delta n, \delta b)]
\]  

(4.44)

where limitations on \(\delta b\) and \(\delta n\) are derived from computations reported in Table 4.2.

As far as concerns \(\bar{w}_{eq}(kT)\), see (4.31),

\[
\bar{w}_{eq}(nT_0) = \sum_k w_{eq}(kT)e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{2N}bk}g(k - nN)e^{-j\phi_b(nT_0)}.
\]  

(4.45)

By introducing the following definition

\[
G_b(\delta n, \delta b) \triangleq (-1)^{b\delta n}G(-\delta n, \delta b),
\]  

(4.46)

from (4.31) and (4.40) it follows

\[
u_b(nT_0) = \bar{u}_b(nT_0) + \bar{w}_{eq}(nT_0)H[b]a_{b+n\delta b}(n)G_b(n, \delta b) + \bar{w}_{eq}(nT_0)
\]  

(4.47)

Relation (4.47) is represented by the scheme shown in Fig. 4.6. Values of \(G_b(\delta n, \delta b)\) for \(b\) even and odd are reported in Tables 4.3 and 4.4, respectively.

4.2 Active subcarriers computation

In this section the power spectral density (PSD) of \(s_u(kT)\) is computed in order to quantify the number of active subcarriers which yields a signal spectrum satisfying mask requirements given in Chapter 3. From Fig. 4.1, the following is derived

\[
s_u(kT) = e^{j\frac{2\pi}{2N}bk} \sum_{b \in A} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_b(nT_0)e^{j\phi_b(nT_0)}g((k - nN)T),
\]  

(4.48)
4.2 Active subcarriers computation

Table 4.3: $G_b(\delta n, \delta b)$ for $b$ even

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\delta n$</th>
<th>-4</th>
<th>-3</th>
<th>-2</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta b$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>-j0.01</td>
<td>-j0.018</td>
<td>-j0.01</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>j0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-j0.001</td>
<td>-j0.01</td>
<td>-j0.038</td>
<td>-j0.228</td>
<td>-j0.441</td>
<td>-j0.228</td>
<td>-j0.038</td>
<td>-j0.01</td>
<td>-j0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+j0.018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+j0.441</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-j0.441</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.018</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>j0.001</td>
<td>-j0.01</td>
<td>j0.038</td>
<td>-j0.228</td>
<td>j0.441</td>
<td>-j0.228</td>
<td>j0.038</td>
<td>-j0.01</td>
<td>j0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+j0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>j0.002</td>
<td>-j0.01</td>
<td>j0.018</td>
<td>-j0.01</td>
<td>j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4: $G_b(\delta n, \delta b)$ for $b$ odd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\delta n$</th>
<th>-4</th>
<th>-3</th>
<th>-2</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta b$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>+j0.01</td>
<td>-j0.018</td>
<td>+j0.01</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+j0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-j0.001</td>
<td>+j0.01</td>
<td>-j0.038</td>
<td>+j0.228</td>
<td>-j0.441</td>
<td>+j0.228</td>
<td>-j0.038</td>
<td>+j0.01</td>
<td>-j0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.441</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+j0.441</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+j0.018</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>j0.001</td>
<td>+j0.01</td>
<td>j0.038</td>
<td>+j0.228</td>
<td>j0.441</td>
<td>+j0.228</td>
<td>j0.038</td>
<td>+j0.01</td>
<td>j0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+j0.038</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>j0.002</td>
<td>+j0.01</td>
<td>j0.018</td>
<td>+j0.01</td>
<td>j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+j0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 Active subcarriers computation

where \( \mathcal{A} \) is defined in (2.2) and the symmetry of \( g(kT) \) has been utilized. Hence,

\[
\tilde{r}_{su}(kT, \tau T) \triangleq \mathbb{E}[s_u(kT)s'_u((k - \tau)T)] \\
= \sum_{b_1 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{b_2 \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} e^{j \frac{2\pi}{N}(b_1k - b_2(k-\tau))} e^{j\phi_{b_1}(n_1T_0) - \phi_{b_2}(n_2T_0)} e^{j\frac{2\pi}{N}nN} g((k - n_1)T) g((k - \tau - n_2)T) \mathbb{E}[a_{b_1}(n_1T_0)a_{b_2}(n_2T_0)]. \\
= \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \sum_{b \in \mathcal{A}} e^{j \frac{2\pi}{N}nN} \sum_{n} g((k - nN)T) g((k - \tau - nN)T) \\
\tag{4.49}
\]

where (4.10) and (4.11) have been utilized. By a simple variable change, it can be shown that \( \tilde{r}_{su}(kT, \tau T) \) satisfies

\[
\tilde{r}_{su}((k + N)T, \tau T) = \tilde{r}_{su}(kT, \tau T), \tag{4.50}
\]

Figure 4.6: Block diagram representing the relation between \( u_b(nT_0) \) and \( a_{b'}(n'T_0), b' = b - 4, \ldots, b + 4. \)
4.2 Active subcarriers computation

namely, \( s_u(kT) \) is a cyclostationary random process of period \( NT \). Hence, the average of \( \tilde{r}_{s_u}(kT, \tau T) \) is introduced as

\[
 r_{s_u}(\tau T) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tilde{r}_{s_u}(kT, \tau T) = \frac{\sigma_A^2}{2N} \sum_{b \in A} e^{j \frac{2\pi}{2N} b \tau} c_g(\tau T) \tag{4.51}
\]

where \( c_g(\tau T) \) is the deterministic autocorrelation of \( g(kT) \) defined as

\[
 c_g(\tau T) \triangleq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} g(kT)g((k + \tau)T). \tag{4.52}
\]

From (4.20) it follows

\[
 c_g(\tau T) = \begin{cases} 
 1 & \text{for } \tau = 0 \\
 0 & \text{for } \tau = n2N, \forall n \text{ integer}.
\end{cases} \tag{4.53}
\]

Hence, by defining

\[
 \overline{c}_g(\nu'T_s) = c_g(\nu'2NT), \tag{4.54}
\]

the Fourier transform of \( \overline{c}_g(\nu'T_s) \), \( \overline{C}_g(f) \) is given by

\[
 \overline{C}_g(f) = \sum_{\nu'} \overline{c}_g(\nu'T_s)e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{2NT} \nu'T_s} = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=0}^{2N-1} C_g \left( f - i\frac{1}{2NT} \right) = 1, \tag{4.55}
\]

where \( C_g(f) \) is the Fourier transform of \( c_g(kT) \). Hence, in case of all active subcarriers, the PSD of \( s_u(kT) \), defined as

\[
 R_{s_u}(f) \triangleq \sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{+\infty} r_{s_u}(\tau T)e^{-j2\pi fT}, \tag{4.56}
\]

is equal to

\[
 R_{s_u}(f) = \sigma_A^2 \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{b=0}^{2N-1} C_g \left( f - \frac{1}{2NT} \right) \tag{4.57}
\]
4.2 Active subcarriers computation

Figure 4.7: Normalized PSD of $s_u(kT)$ in dB and mask constraints at 3.9 and 4.25 Mhz (x markers).

where (4.55) has been utilized. The aim, here, instead, is to determine the number of active subcarriers $N_a$ in order to obtain a transmitted signal whose PSD satisfies the mask constraints. Fig. 4.7 depicts the normalized PSD of $s_u(kT)$ in dB for $N_a = 13950$ and $L = 4M$. In the same figure, mask constraints at 3.9 Mhz and 4.25 Mhz (−32.8 dB and −66.9 dB, respectively) are indicated by x markers. A zoomed view is also depicted in Fig. 4.8. As the figures show, most stringent constraints are satisfied by the choice of $N_a = 13933$. 

66
Figure 4.8: Zoomed view of the normalized PSD of $s_u(kT)$ in dB and mask constraints at 3.9 and 4.25 Mhz (x markers).
Chapter 5

Superimposed sequence channel estimation and symbol synchronization

In this chapter channel estimation and synchronization techniques are described. In Fig. 5.1 blocks involved in channel estimation and synchronization are shown. For a comprehensive view refer to Fig. 2.1.

5.1 Channel estimation

Channel estimation is based on the superimposed correlation-based method [9]. It consists in superimposing a low power PN sequence to the useful signal, which is exploited at the receiver side to estimate the channel through filtering by

![Diagram]
5.1 Channel estimation

the matched sequence. The technique has been already studied for the conventional OFDM system [21], but never experimented for OFDM/OQAM based systems. Due to the mask constraints dictated by the DVB-T standard [26], any PN sequence has to be rate converted, [9], before being transmitted through the channel. Indeed, conventional superimposed correlation method is based on the straightforward superposition of a low power pseudo noise (PN) sequence to the useful transmitted signal and the recovery of the channel values through a filtering by the matched PN sequence. Unfortunately, the white spectrum of the PN sequence may not be able to fulfill realistic spectrum mask constraints, forcing to a rate conversion before the superposition, as is the case of the specifications considered in this work. In the following blocks involved in channel estimation are described.

5.1.1 Rate converters

A low power sequence $p_{\text{sup}}(kT)$, superimposed to the useful signal $s_u(k)$ is obtained by the scaling of $p_n(kT)$, which is the result of the rate conversion of a CAZAC PN sequence [9], denoted by $p'_n(kT_1)$. Figs. 5.2 and 5.3 show the functional blocks of transmitter and receiver rate converters, respectively. The PN sequence $p'_n(kT_1)$ and the rate converted $p_n(kT)$ are periodic signals whose periods are denoted by $L_{p'_n}$ and $L_{p_n}$, respectively. The transmitter rate converter has

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
p'_n \\
\uparrow 7 \\
F_{1tx}(z) \\
\downarrow 3 \\
F_{2tx}(z) \\
\downarrow 2 \\
p_n
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 5.2: Transmitter rate converter.

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
p_n \\
\uparrow 2 \\
F_{1rx}(z) \\
\uparrow 3 \\
F_{2rx}(z) \\
\downarrow 7 \\
p'_n
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 5.3: Receiver rate converter.
5.1 Channel estimation

been designed to obtain a periodic PN sequence $p_n(k)$ with period $L_{p_n} > L_{p'_n}$, whose Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT),

$$P_n \left( K \frac{1}{L_{p_{n}T_1}} \right) \triangleq \sum_{k=0}^{L_{p_{n}}-1} p_n(k) e^{-j2\pi \frac{Kk}{L_{p_{n}}}}, \quad K = 0, \ldots, L_{p_{n}} - 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

has the same values of the DFT of $p'_n(k)$,

$$P'_n \left( K \frac{1}{L_{p'_nT}} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{L_{p'_n}-1} p'_n(k) e^{-j2\pi \frac{Kk}{L_{p'_n}}}, \quad K = 0, \ldots, L_{p'_n} - 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2)

over the unconstrained DVB-T band $([-3.9\, \text{MHz} : 3.9\, \text{MHz}])$, as shown in Fig. 5.4. The aim of the receiver rate converter is to recover $p'_n(k)$. The transmitter rate conversion is performed offline, while receiver circular convolutions can be accomplished via DFT. Fig. 5.5 depicts the transmitter and receiver filters frequency responses in dB, where, according to standard specifications,

$$\frac{1}{2T_1} = 3.9\, \text{MHz} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2T} = 4.57\, \text{MHz}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

The choice of $\frac{1}{2T_1} = 3.9\, \text{MHz}$ is due to the fact that the first and most stringent mask constraint given by the DVB-T standard, [26], is at $3.9\, \text{MHz}$. In our case $p'_n(kT_1)$ is a periodic pseudonoise CAZAC sequence of period $L_{p'_n} = 56172$ and the resulting rate converted sequence $p_n(kT)$ has period $L_{p_n} = 65534$. 

![Figure 5.4: DFT of the input and output transmitter rate converter.](image)
Figure 5.5: Rate converters frequency responses.
5.1 Channel estimation

5.1.2 Channel estimation module

The basic DVB-T2 transmission unit is the *frame*, [8]. In every frame, $N_F$ OFDM symbols are transmitted, modulating $N_a$ active subcarriers. The modulated signal $s_u(kT)$ contains the beginning of a frame every $N_F M$ samples, where $M$ is the total number of subcarriers. Just before delivering the modulated signal $s_u(kT)$ the filtered and scaled PN sequence $p_{sup}(k)$ is superimposed. Having $p_{sup}(kT)$ and $p_n(kT)$ the same period, a single period of $p_{sup}(kT)$ comprises $L_{pn}$ samples, corresponding to $T_{p_{sup}} = L_{pn} T$ seconds. In order to exploit the superimposed sequence also for synchronization purposes, $L_{pn}$ should be an integer multiple, $N_{sup}$, of $M$. Indeed, in such a case the beginning of every period coincides with the beginning of an OFDM symbol. If the condition is not exactly satisfied, the sequence is padded with a small number of zeros before being superimposed as shown in Fig. 5.6. superimpose a signal whose period is an integer multiple $N_{sup}$ of $2N$, a single period is padded with a small number of zeros $N_z$, as shown in Fig. 5.6. E.g., in our case $M = 16384$, $L_{pn} = 65534$, $N_{sup} = 4$, $N_z = 2$. If the number of added zeros is very small with respect to $L_{pn}$, as in our case, the estimation operation is not affected. Letting $\sigma^2_{su}$ be the power of the useful signal, the power level of the superimposed sequence $p_{sup}(k)$ is set to

$$\sigma^2_{p_{sup}} = \beta \sigma^2_{su} \quad (5.4)$$

by multiplying $p_n(k)$ by a factor $\sqrt{\alpha}$.

Fig. 5.7 shows the operations performed by the RATE-CONV CHAN-EST block.

![Figure 5.6: Superimposition scheme.](image)
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of Fig. 2.1. The useful signal \( s_u(kT) \) and the system noise \( w(kT) \) are first neglected since they both play the role of noise as regard channel estimation task. Being \( p_{sup}(kT) \) cyclic, \( r_h^{(sup)} \) is the cyclic convolution between \( p_{sup}(kT) \) and \( h(kT) \). Hence,

\[
R_h^{(sup)} \left( \frac{1}{T L_{p_n}} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{L_{p_n} - 1} r_h^{(sup)} e^{-j2\pi K \frac{1}{T L_{p_n}} kT} = \sqrt{\alpha P_n} \left( \frac{K}{T L_{p_n}} \right) H \left( \frac{K}{T L_{p_n}} \right) K = 0, \ldots, L_{p_n} - 1.
\]

By the property of the rate converter, explained above, the signal at the output of the rate converter \( r_{conv}^{(sup)}(kT_1) \), is the cyclic convolution between \( p'_n(kT) \) and \( h_a(kT_1) \), whose Fourier transform is defined as, (2.13)

\[
\overline{H_a}(f) = H(f), \quad 0 \leq |f| < \frac{F_1}{2}.
\]

Namely,

\[
R_{conv}^{(sup)} \left( \frac{1}{T_1 L_{p'_n}} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{L_{p'_n} - 1} r_{conv}^{(sup)}(kT_1) e^{-j2\pi K \frac{1}{T_1 L_{p'_n}} kT_1} = \sqrt{\alpha P'_n} \left( \frac{K}{T_1 L_{p'_n}} \right) H \left( \frac{K}{T_1 L_{p'_n}} \right) K = 0, \ldots, L_{p'_n} - 1.
\]

Figure 5.7: Ideal channel estimation module
Using the following property of the PN sequences

\[ p'_n \ast \overline{p'}_n(kT_1) = \begin{cases} \text{for } k = NL_{p'_n}, N \text{ integer} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \]  

(5.8)

where \( \overline{p'}_n \) is the reversed conjugate of \( p'_n(kT_1) \), defined in (2.6), \( \overline{h}_a(kT_1) \) is obtained as

\[ \overline{h}_a(kT_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}L_{p'_n}} r_{(sup)}^{(conv)} * \overline{p'}_n(kT_1), \quad k = 0, \ldots, L_h. \]  

(5.9)

\( \overline{H}_a \left( K \frac{1}{T_1L_{p'_n}} \right) \) is, then, derived by a DFT over \( L_{p'_n} \) samples. Being

\[ \frac{1}{T_1L_{p'_n}} = \frac{1}{T_2L_{p'n}} = \frac{1}{T_2N_{sup}M} \]  

(5.10)

if \( \left[ -\frac{1}{2T_1}, \frac{1}{2T_1} \right] \) includes the active frequencies set, \( H_a \), defined in (2.14), is derived as follows

\[ H_a[i] = \begin{cases} \overline{H}_a \left( 4i \frac{1}{T_1L_{p'_n}} \right) & \text{for } i = 0, \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{Na}{2} \right\rfloor \\ \overline{H}_a \left( (L_{p'_n} - 4 (2\left\lfloor \frac{Na}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 - i)) \frac{1}{T_1L_{p'_n}} \right) & \text{for } i = \left\lfloor \frac{Na}{2} \right\rfloor + 1, \ldots, Na - 1 \end{cases} \]  

(5.11)

represented in Fig. (5.7) by the SAMPLING block. The presence of the useful signal \( s_u(kT) \) and the system noise \( w(kT) \) prevents from a perfect channel reconstruction, as shown in Fig (5.8). The channel estimate has been denoted by \( \hat{H}_a \).

Figure 5.8: Channel estimation module.

Being the rate converter a linear block, its output is given by

\[ r_{conv}(kT_1) = r_{(sup)}^{(conv)}(kT_1) + w_{conv}^{tot}(kT_1) \]  

(5.12)
5.2 Synchronization

where $w_{c}^{tot\,onv}$ is the result of the rate conversion of the total noise

$$w^{(tot)}(kT) = w + r_{h}^{(su)}(kT)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.13)

Hence, an increasing of $\alpha$ lessens the noise contribute, improving the estimation performance. However, at the same time an increasing of $\alpha$ deteriorates the equivalent system SNR defined in (2.11). Results will be given in terms of the parameter $\beta$, related to $\alpha$ as follows

$$\alpha \sigma_{psup}^{2} = \beta \sigma_{su}^{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.14)

5.2 Synchronization

Before performing any operation, a symbol synchronization is needed. To this aim, the received signal is filtered by the reversed complex conjugate of $p_{n}^{t}(k)$. Apart from the system noise and the useful signal, due to the rate conversion, the output of the correlator is not exactly a scaled version of the channel $h(k)$, but something very similar. Hence, the synchronization is performed choosing as reference point the one which achieves the maximum among a slice of $L_{pn}$ samples at the output of the correlator. E.g., Fig. 5.9, depicts the output of the correlator with the following system settings: $2N = 16384$, $SNR = 7$ dB, 16 QAM constellation, $\beta = 0.08$, $L_{pn} = 65536$, fixed reception channel model, [26]. Dashed vertical lines enclose $L_{pn}$ wide slices. As the Fig. shows, picks are clearly observable, yielding almost a perfect synchronization on all the checked transmission frame.
Figure 5.9: Signal at the output of the synchronization correlator.
Chapter 6

Linear Minimum Mean Square Equalization

As shown in Fig. 4.2, OFDM/OQAM system is provided by per branch linear equalizers represented by their zeta transform $C_b(z)$. Fig. 6.1 shows a block diagram representing operations involved in producing the $b$th output. The equivalent scheme of Fig. 4.6, derived in Chapter 4, has been utilized; $G_b(\delta n, \delta b)$, $b = 0, \ldots, 2N - 1$, are defined in (4.46) and their values are reported in Tables 4.3 and 4.4. For each branch $b$, equalization filter coefficients are computed according to the minimum mean square error criterion (MMSE), namely minimizing

$$MSE = E \left[ |\tilde{A}_b(n'T_s) - A_b(n'T_s)|^2 \right],$$

(6.1)

where $\tilde{A}_b(n'T_s) = \tilde{A}_b^R(n'T_s) + j\tilde{A}_b^I(n'T_s)$ is the received QAM symbol on branch $b$ at instant $n'T_s$ and $A_b(n'T_s)$ the corresponding sent data. In [24] a frequency domain approach has been presented to compute equalization coefficients in case of a prototype filter band limited to $[-\frac{1}{T_s}, \frac{1}{T_s}]$, which is not the case of $g(kT)$. Here, a time domain approach is used to compute equalization coefficients.
6.1 MSE computation

In the following, for sake of simplicity, the sample period will be omitted, where there’s no harm for ambiguity. We suppose equalization filters to have support \([-L_c, L_c]\) and we denote filter coefficients as \(c_b(l), \ l = -L_c, \ldots, L_c\). The following vectorial notations are also introduced

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{c}_b &= [c_b(-L_c), \ldots, c_b(L_c)]^T \\
\mathbf{c}_b^R &= [\text{Re}[c_b(-L_c)], \ldots, \text{Re}[c_b(L_c)]]^T \\
\mathbf{c}_b^I &= [\text{Im}[c_b(-L_c)], \ldots, \text{Im}[c_b(L_c)]]^T \\
\mathbf{u}_b(n) &= [u_b(n + L_c), \ldots, u_b(n - L_c)]^T \\
\mathbf{u}_b^R(n) &= [\text{Re}[u_b(n + L_c)], \ldots, \text{Re}[u_b(n - L_c)]]^T \\
\mathbf{u}_b^I(n) &= [\text{Im}[u_b(n + L_c)], \ldots, \text{Im}[u_b(n - L_c)]]^T
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 6.1: Block diagram representing the relation between \(u_b(nT_0)\) and \(a_{b'}(nT_0)\), \(b' = b - 4, \ldots, b + 4\).
where $^T$ denotes the transpose operator. Denoting by $J_b(c^n, c^l)$ the MSE corresponding to the $b$th branch, from (6.1) and Fig. 6.1 follows

$$ J_b(c_b^R, c_b^I) = E \left[ |\tilde{A}_b(n') - A_b(n')|^2 \right] $$
$$ = E \left[ |\tilde{A}_b(n')|^2 + |A_b(n')|^2 - 2Re\{\tilde{A}_b(n')A_b(n')\} \right] $$
$$ = E \left[ |A_b(n')|^2 \right] + E \left[ A_b^R(n')^2 + \tilde{A}_b^I(n')^2 \right] $$
$$ - 2E \left[ A_b^R(n')\tilde{A}_b^R(n') + A_b^I(n')\tilde{A}_b^I(n') \right] $$
$$ = E \left[ |A_b(n')|^2 \right] + E \left[ \tilde{a}_b(2n')^2 + a_b(2n' + 1)^2 \right] $$
$$ - 2E \left[ a_b^R(2n')\tilde{a}_b(2n') + a_b(2n' + 1)\tilde{a}_b(2n' + 1) \right] \quad (6.3) $$

Expanding the second term of the summation we have

$$ E \left[ \tilde{a}_b(2n')^2 + a_b(2n' + 1)^2 \right] $$
$$ = E \left[ Re\{c_b^T u_b(2n')\}^2 + Re\{c_b^T u_b(2n' + 1)\}^2 \right] $$
$$ = E \left[ (c_b^RT u_b^R(2n') - c_b^IT u_b^I(2n'))^2 + (c_b^RT u_b^R(2n' + 1) - c_b^IT u_b^I(2n' + 1))^2 \right] $$
$$ = c_b^RT E \left[ u_b^R(2n')u_b^RT(2n') + u_b^R(2n' + 1)u_b^RT(2n' + 1) \right] c_b^R $$
$$ + c_b^IT E \left[ u_b^I(2n')u_b^IT(2n') + u_b^I(2n' + 1)u_b^IT(2n' + 1) \right] c_b^I $$
$$ - c_b^RT E \left[ u_b^R(2n')u_b^RT(2n') + u_b^R(2n' + 1)u_b^RT(2n' + 1) \right] c_b^I $$
$$ - c_b^IT \left\{ E \left[ u_b^R(2n')u_b^IT(2n') + u_b^R(2n' + 1)u_b^IT(2n' + 1) \right] \right\} ^T c_b^R \quad (6.4) $$

Introducing the following definitions

$$ A_1 = E \left[ u_b^R(2n')u_b^RT(2n') + u_b^R(2n' + 1)u_b^RT(2n' + 1) \right] $$
$$ A_2 = E \left[ u_b^I(2n')u_b^IT(2n') + u_b^I(2n' + 1)u_b^IT(2n' + 1) \right] $$
$$ B = -E \left[ u_b^R(2n')u_b^IT(2n') + u_b^R(2n' + 1)u_b^IT(2n' + 1) \right] $$

(6.4) becomes

$$ E \left[ \tilde{a}_b(2n')^2 + a_b(2n' + 1)^2 \right] $$
$$ = [c_b^RT \quad c_b^IT] \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & B \\ B^T & A_2 \end{bmatrix} [c_b^R \quad c_b^I] \quad (6.5) $$
Note that the matrix
\[
\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B}^T & \mathbf{A}_2 \end{bmatrix}
\]  
(6.6)
is a real valued, positive definite matrix since \(E[\tilde{a}_b(2n')^2 + \tilde{a}_b(2n' + 1)^2] > 0\).

About the third term in the summation (6.3)
\[
-2E \left[ a_b^R(2n')\tilde{a}_b(2n') + a_b^I(2n' + 1)\tilde{a}_b(2n' + 1) \right] \\
= -2E \left[ a_b^R(2n')Re[\mathbf{c}_b^T \mathbf{u}_b(2n')] + a_b^I(2n' + 1)Re[\mathbf{c}_b^T \mathbf{u}_b(2n' + 1)] \right] \\
= -2E \left[ a_b^R(2n')(\mathbf{c}_b^{RT} \mathbf{u}_b^R(2n') - \mathbf{c}_b^{IT} \mathbf{u}_b^I(2n')) \\
+ a_b^I(2n' + 1)(\mathbf{c}_b^{RT} \mathbf{u}_b^R(2n' + 1) - \mathbf{c}_b^{IT} \mathbf{u}_b^I(2n' + 1)) \right] \\
= -2 \left[ E[a_b(2n')\mathbf{u}_b^{RT}(2n') + a_b(2n' + 1)\mathbf{u}_b^{RT}(2n' + 1)] \\
- E[(a_b(2n')\mathbf{u}_b^{IT}(2n') + a_b(2n' + 1)\mathbf{u}_b^{IT}(2n'))] \right] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_b^R \\ \mathbf{c}_b^I \end{bmatrix}
\]  
(6.7)

Introducing the following definitions,
\[
\mathbf{p}_1 \triangleq E[a_b(2n')\mathbf{u}_b^R(2n') + a_b(2n' + 1)\mathbf{u}_b^R(2n' + 1)] 
\]  
(6.8)
\[
\mathbf{p}_2 \triangleq -E[(a_b(2n')\mathbf{u}_b^I(2n') + a_b(2n' + 1)\mathbf{u}_b^I(2n' + 1))] 
\]  
(6.9)

(6.7) becomes
\[
-2E \left[ a_b^R(2n')\tilde{a}_b(2n') + a_b^I(2n' + 1)\tilde{a}_b(2n' + 1) \right] = -2[\mathbf{p}_1^T \mathbf{p}_2^T] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_b^R \\ \mathbf{c}_b^I \end{bmatrix}
\]

Overall (6.3) can be written as
\[
J_b(\mathbf{c}_b^{RT}, \mathbf{c}_b^{IT}) = E[|A_b(n')|^2] + [\mathbf{c}_b^{RT} \mathbf{c}_b^{IT}]\mathbf{R} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_b^{RT} \\ \mathbf{c}_b^{IT} \end{bmatrix} - 2[\mathbf{p}_1^T \mathbf{p}_2^T] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_b^R \\ \mathbf{c}_b^I \end{bmatrix}.
\]  
(6.10)

Since \(J_b(\mathbf{c}_b^{RT}, \mathbf{c}_b^{IT})\) is a quadratic function of \([\mathbf{c}_b^{RT} \mathbf{c}_b^{IT}]\) and \(\mathbf{R}\) is positive definite, \(J_b(\mathbf{c}_b^{RT}, \mathbf{c}_b^{IT})\) is strictly convex and its minimizer is given by
\[
\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_b^R \\ \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_b^I \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_1 \\ \mathbf{p}_2 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
and the corresponding minimum is given by

\[ J_{\text{min}} = J_b \left( \mathbf{c}_b^R \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{c}_b^L \mathbf{T} \right) \]

\[ = \sigma^2_A - \begin{bmatrix} p_1^T & p_2^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{bmatrix}. \]  

(6.11)

### 6.1.1 Correlation and crosscorrelation functions

By introducing the following autocorrelation and crosscorrelation functions

\[ \tilde{r}_{ub}(n, \tau) = E\left[u_b(n)u_b^*(n - \tau)\right] \]

\[ \tilde{r}_{ub'}(n, \tau) = E\left[u_b^R(n)u_b^R(n - \tau)\right] \]

\[ \tilde{r}_{ub}(n, \tau) = E\left[u_b(n)u_b^f(n - \tau)\right] \]

\[ \tilde{r}_{ub',u_b}(n, \tau) = E\left[u_b'(n)u_b^f(n - \tau)\right] \]

\[ \tilde{r}_{ub',u_b'}(n, \tau) = E\left[u_b'(n)u_b'(n - \tau)\right] \]

\[ \tilde{r}_{ab,ub}(n, \tau) = E\left[a_b(n)u_b(n - \tau)\right] \]

\[ \tilde{r}_{ab,ub'}(n, \tau) = E\left[a_b(n)u_b(n - \tau)\right] \]

\[ \tilde{r}_{ab,ub}(n, \tau) = E\left[a_b(n)u_b(n - \tau)\right] \]

\[ \tilde{r}_{ab,ub'}(n, \tau) = E\left[a_b(n)u_b(n - \tau)\right] \]

**A_1, A_2, B, p_1, p_2,** can be written as

\[ A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{r}_{ub}(2n' + L_e, 0) + \tilde{r}_{ub'}(2n' + 1 + L_e, 0) & \cdots & \tilde{r}_{ub}(2n' + L_e, 2L_e) + \tilde{r}_{ub'}(2n' + 1 + L_e, 2L_e) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{r}_{ub}(2n' - L_e, -2L_e) + \tilde{r}_{ub'}(2n' + 1 - L_e, -2L_e) & \cdots & \tilde{r}_{ub}(2n' - L_e, 0) + \tilde{r}_{ub'}(2n' + 1 - L_e, 0) \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{r}_{ub}(2n' + L_e, 0) + \tilde{r}_{ub'}(2n' + 1 + L_e, 0) & \cdots & \tilde{r}_{ub}(2n' + L_e, 2L_e) + \tilde{r}_{ub'}(2n' + 1 + L_e, 2L_e) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{r}_{ub}(2n' - L_e, -2L_e) + \tilde{r}_{ub'}(2n' + 1 - L_e, -2L_e) & \cdots & \tilde{r}_{ub}(2n' - L_e, 0) + \tilde{r}_{ub'}(2n' + 1 - L_e, 0) \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ B = \begin{bmatrix} -\tilde{r}_{ub',u_b}(2n' + L_e, 0) - \tilde{r}_{ub',u_b}(2n' + 1 + L_e, 0) & \cdots & -\tilde{r}_{ub',u_b}(2n' + L_e, 2L_e) - \tilde{r}_{ub',u_b}(2n' + 1 + L_e, 2L_e) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -\tilde{r}_{ub',u_b}(2n' - L_e, -2L_e) - \tilde{r}_{ub',u_b}(2n' + 1 - L_e, -2L_e) & \cdots & -\tilde{r}_{ub',u_b}(2n' - L_e, 0) - \tilde{r}_{ub',u_b}(2n' + 1 - L_e, 0) \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ p_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{r}_{ab,ub}(2n' + L_e, 0) + \tilde{r}_{ab,ub'}(2n' + 1 + L_e) \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{r}_{ab,ub}(2n' - L_e, 0) + \tilde{r}_{ab,ub'}(2n' + 1, L_e) \end{bmatrix} \]

(6.12)
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\[
\mathbf{p}_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
-\tilde{r}_{ab, u_b}((2n', -Lc)) - \tilde{r}_{ab, u_b}((2n' + 1, -Lc)) \\
\vdots \\
-\tilde{r}_{ab, u_b}((2n', Lc)) - \tilde{r}_{ab, u_b}((2n' + 1, Lc))
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Since noise and data are assumed to be zero mean and independent, it follows

\[
\tilde{r}_{ub}(n, \tau) = \tilde{r}_{ub}(n, \tau) + \tilde{r}_{eq}(n, \tau).
\] (6.13)

\[
\tilde{r}_{ub'}(n, \tau) = \tilde{r}_{ub'}(n, \tau) + \tilde{r}_{eq}(n, \tau)
\] (6.14)

\[
\tilde{r}_{ub''}(n, \tau) = \tilde{r}_{ub''}(n, \tau) + \tilde{r}_{eq}(n, \tau)
\] (6.15)

\[
\tilde{r}_{ub', u_b'}(n, \tau) = \tilde{r}_{eq}(n, \tau)
\] (6.16)

\[
\tilde{r}_{ub, u_b'}(n_1, \tau) = \tilde{r}_{ub, u_b'}(n_1, \tau)
\] (6.17)

\[
\tilde{r}_{ub, u_b''}(n_1, \tau) = \tilde{r}_{ub, u_b''}(n_1, \tau)
\] (6.18)

By introducing

\[
\tilde{r}_{ub, ub'}(\tau) = E \left[ u_b(n)u_b(n - \tau) \right],
\] (6.19)

\[
\tilde{r}_{ub', ub'}(\tau), \tilde{r}_{ub''}(\tau), \tilde{r}_{ub', ub''}(\tau)
\] can be expressed as

\[
\tilde{r}_{ub'}(n, \tau) = E \left[ \frac{u_b(n) + u'_b(n)}{2} \frac{u_b(n - \tau) + u_b(n - \tau)}{2} \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} \left( r_{ub, ub'}(n, \tau) + r'_{ub}(n, \tau) + r_{ub''}(n, \tau) + r'_{ub'}(n, \tau) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{Re}[r_{ub}(n, \tau)] + \text{Re}[r_{ub', ub'}(n, \tau)] \right)
\] (6.20)

\[
\tilde{r}_{ub''}(n, \tau) = E \left[ \frac{u_b(n) - u'_b(n)}{2j} \frac{u_b(n - \tau) - u_b(n - \tau)}{-2j} \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} \left( r_{ub, ub''}(n, \tau) + r'_{ub}(n, \tau) - r_{ub', ub'}(n, \tau) - r'_{ub''}(n, \tau) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{Re}[r_{ub}(n, \tau)] - \text{Re}[r_{ub', ub'}(n, \tau)] \right)
\] (6.21)
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\[ \tilde{r}_{u_i,u_i}(n,\tau) = E \left[ \overline{u_b(n)} + \overline{u_b(n-\tau)} - \overline{u_b(n-\tau)} \right] \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{4j} \left( r_{\overline{u_b}(n,\tau)} - r'_{\overline{u_b}(n,\tau)} - r_{\overline{u_b},\overline{u}_b}(n,\tau) + r'_{\overline{u_b},\overline{u}_b}(n,\tau) \right) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \left( Im[r_{\overline{u_b},\overline{u}_b}(n,\tau)] - Im[r_{\overline{u_b}}(n,\tau)] \right). \]  

(6.22)

From (4.47) follows

\[ \tilde{r}_{\overline{u_b}}(n,\tau) = E[\overline{u_b}(n)\overline{u_b}(n-\tau)] \]

\[ = |H[b]|^2 \sum_{\delta b_1} \sum_{\delta b_2} \sum_{\delta n_1} \sum_{\delta n_2} r_{a_b+\delta b_1,a_b+\delta b_2}(\tau + \delta n_2 - \delta n_1) \]

\[ G_b(\delta n_1,\delta b_1)G'_b(\delta n_2,\delta b_2) \]

\[ = |H[b]|^2 \sum_{\delta b} \sum_{\delta n} r_{a_b+\delta b}(0)G_b(\delta n,\delta b)G'_b(\delta n_2 - \delta n,\delta b) \]

\[ = |H[b]|^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \sum_{\delta b} \sum_{\delta n} G_b(\delta n,\delta b)G'_b(\delta n_2 - \delta n,\delta b), \]  

(6.23)

where (4.10) and (4.11) have been utilized. \( \tilde{r}_{\overline{u_b}}(n,\tau) \) doesn’t depend on \( n \). Hence, \( \overline{u_b}(n) \) is a wide sense stationary process and its correlation can be denoted by

\[ r_{\overline{u_b}}(\tau) = E[\overline{u_b}(n)\overline{u_b}(n-\tau)]. \]  

(6.24)

About \( \tilde{r}_{\overline{u_b},\overline{u}_b}(n,\tau) \),

\[ \tilde{r}_{\overline{u_b},\overline{u}_b}(n,\tau) = E[\overline{u_b}(n)\overline{u}_b(n-\tau)] \]

\[ = H[b]^2 \sum_{\delta b_1} \sum_{\delta b_2} \sum_{\delta n_1} \sum_{\delta n_2} r_{a_b+\delta b_1,a_b+\delta b_2}(\tau + \delta n_2 - \delta n_1) \]

\[ G_b(\delta n_1,\delta b_1)G_b(\delta n_2,\delta b_2) \]

\[ = H[b]^2 \sum_{\delta b} \sum_{\delta n} r_{a_b+\delta b}(0)G_b(\delta n,\delta b)G_b(\delta n_2 - \delta n,\delta b) \]

\[ = H[b]^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \sum_{\delta b} \sum_{\delta n} G_b(\delta n,\delta b)G_b(\delta n_2 - \delta n,\delta b), \]  

(6.25)

where (4.10) and (4.11) have been utilized. Since \( \tilde{r}_{\overline{u_b},\overline{u}_b}(n,\tau) \) doesn’t depend on \( n \), the following notation is introduced

\[ r_{\overline{u_b},\overline{u}_b}(\tau) = \tilde{r}_{\overline{u_b},\overline{u}_b}(n,\tau). \]  

(6.26)
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Table 6.1: $\sum_{\delta b} \Lambda_b(\tau, \delta b)$ for $L = 4M$ and $b$ even

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\tau$</th>
<th>-4</th>
<th>-3</th>
<th>-2</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>j0.037</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>j0.882</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-j0.882</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.037</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.2: $\sum_{\delta b} \Lambda_b(\tau, \delta b)$ for $L = 4M$ and $b$ odd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\tau$</th>
<th>-4</th>
<th>-3</th>
<th>-2</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-j0.037</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-j0.882</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>j0.882</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>j0.037</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By defining

$$\Lambda_b(\tau, \delta b) = \sum_{\delta n} G_b(\delta n, \delta b)G'_b(\delta n, \delta b - \tau, \delta b)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.27)$$

and

$$\Gamma_b(\tau, \delta b) = \sum_{\delta n} G_b(\delta n, \delta b)G_b(\delta n, \delta b - \tau, \delta b)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.28)

(6.23) and (6.25) can be written as

$$r_{u_b}(\tau) = |H[b]|^2 \frac{\sigma_A^2}{2} \sum_{\delta b} \Lambda_b(\tau, \delta b)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.29)

and

$$r_{u_b, u'_b}(\tau) = H[b]^2 \frac{\sigma_A^2}{2} \sum_{\delta b} \Gamma_b(\tau, \delta b)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.30)

respectively. Values of $\sum_{\delta b} \Lambda_b(\tau, \delta b)$ and $\sum_{\delta b} \Gamma_b(\tau, \delta b)$ for $b$ even and odd are reported in Tables 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 and 6.4, respectively, and values less than $10^{-3}$ have been approximated to zero. Since values reported in Tables 6.3 and 6.4 are null,

$$r_{u_b, u'_b}(\tau) = 0, \hspace{1cm} \forall \tau.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.31)

Hence, it follows

$$r_{u_b, u'_b}(\tau) = r_{u_b}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} Re[r_{u_b}(\tau)] = \frac{\sigma_A^2}{4} |H[b]|^2 Re[\sum_{\delta b} \Lambda_b(\tau, \delta b)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.32)
Table 6.3: $\sum_{\delta b} \Lambda_b(\tau, \delta b)$ for $L = 4M$ and $b$ even

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\tau$</th>
<th>-4</th>
<th>-3</th>
<th>-2</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda_b$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.4: $\sum_{\delta b} \Lambda_b(\tau, \delta b)$ for $L = 4M$ and $b$ odd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\tau$</th>
<th>-4</th>
<th>-3</th>
<th>-2</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda_b$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and

$$r_{a_b, u_b}^{R_b}(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Im}[r_{\pi_b}(\tau)]$$

$$= -\frac{\sigma_A^2}{4} |H[b]|^2 \text{Im}[\sum_{\delta b} \Lambda_b(\tau, \delta b)]. \quad (6.33)$$

About $\tilde{r}_{a_b, \pi_b}(n, \tau)$ and $\tilde{r}_{a_b, \pi_b}(n, \tau)$ the following holds

$$\tilde{r}_{a_b, \pi_b}(n, \tau) = \mathbb{E} [a_b(n) \sum_{\delta b} (-1)^{\delta b(n-\tau)} \sum_{\delta n} a_{b+\delta b}(n - \tau - \delta n) \text{Re}[H[b]G_b(\delta n, \delta b)]]$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_A^2}{2} \text{Re}[H[b]G_b(-\tau, 0)]$$

$$= r_{a_b, \pi_b}(\tau) \quad (6.34)$$

and

$$\tilde{r}_{a_b, \pi_b}(n, \tau) = \mathbb{E} [a_b(n) \sum_{\delta b} (-1)^{\delta b(n-\tau)} \sum_{\delta n} a_{b+\delta b}(n - \tau - \delta n) \text{Im}[H[b]G_b(\delta n, \delta b)]]$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_A^2}{2} \text{Im}[H[b]G_b(-\tau, 0)]$$

$$= r_{a_b, \pi_b}(\tau) \quad (6.35)$$

where (4.10) and (4.11) have been utilized.
From (4.45) follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{6.1 MSE computation} \\
\text{From (4.45) follows}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
r_{w_{eq}}(n, \tau) &= \sum_{k_1} \sum_{k_2} \mathbb{E}[w_{eq}(k_1)w_{eq}^\prime(k_2)]e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} b(k_1-k_2)}e^{-j(\phi_b(n)-\phi_b(n-\tau))} \\
&= \sigma_{w_{eq}}^2 - \tau (1)^{b \tau} \sum_k g(k)g(k-\tau N) \\
&= \sigma_{w_{eq}}^2 G_b(\tau, 0) \\
&= r_{\overline{w}}(\tau) \quad (6.36)
\end{align*}
\]

where definitions (4.12), (4.46) and hypothesis (4.25) have been applied. Since (4.26)–(4.28) imply that \(r_{w_{eq},w_{eq}}(\tau) = 0\), it follows that

\[
\tilde{r}_{w_{eq}}(n, \tau) = 0. \quad (6.37)
\]

Hence

\[
\begin{align*}
r_{\overline{w}}(\tau) &= r_{\overline{w}}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}[r_{\overline{w}}(\tau)] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{w_{eq}}^2 \text{Re}[G_b(\tau, 0)] \quad (6.38)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
r_{\overline{w}_{eq}}(\tau) &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{Im}[r_{\overline{w}_{eq}}(\tau)] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{w_{eq}}^2 \text{Im}[G_b(\tau, 0)]. \quad (6.39)
\end{align*}
\]

Utilizing results derived so far, matrixes (6.13) can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{A}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 2r_{u_b}^R(0) & \cdots & 2r_{u_b}^R(2L_c) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 2r_{u_b}^R(-2L_c) & \cdots & 2r_{u_b}^R(0) \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{A}_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 2r_{u_b}^I(0) & \cdots & 2r_{u_b}^I(2L_c) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 2r_{u_b}^I(-2L_c) & \cdots & 2r_{u_b}^I(0) \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{B} &= \begin{bmatrix} -2r_{u_b^R,u_b^I}(2n' + L_c, 0) & \cdots & -2r_{u_b^R,u_b^I}(2L_c) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -2r_{u_b^R,u_b^I}(-2L_c) & \cdots & -2r_{u_b^R,u_b^I}(0) \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]
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\[
p_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
2r_{ab,u_b^r}(-L_c) \\
\vdots \\
2r_{ab,u_b^r}(L_c)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
p_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
-2\bar{r}_{ab,u_b^l}(-L_c) \\
\vdots \\
-2\bar{r}_{ab,u_b^l}(L_c)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(6.40)

By using results derived so far and values reported in Tables 4.3, 4.4, 6.3 and 6.4, one tap equalization coefficients are given by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\bar{c}_{Rb}^R \\
\bar{c}_{b}^C
\end{bmatrix} = R^{-1} \begin{bmatrix}
p_1 \\
p_2
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_A^2 |H[b]|^2 + \sigma_{weq} & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_A^2 |H[b]|^2 + \sigma_{weq}
\end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_A^2 Re[H[b]] \\
\sigma_A^2 Im[H[b]]
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{Re[H[b]]}{|H[b]|^2 + \frac{\sigma_{weq}}{\sigma_A}} \\
\frac{|H[b]|^2 + \frac{\sigma_{weq}}{\sigma_A}}{|H[b]|^2 + \frac{\sigma_{weq}}{\sigma_A}}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(6.41)

Note that for high \( \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_{weq}} \) values, one tap equalization coincides with the ones that can be derived with the zero forcing method. Namely, discarding \( \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_{weq}} \),

\[
c_b^R + jc_b^C = \frac{1}{H[b]} 
\]

(6.42)

where identities

\[
\frac{Re[H[b]]}{|H[b]|^2} = Re[\frac{1}{H[b]}] 
\]

(6.43)

and

\[
\frac{Im[H[b]]}{|H[b]|^2} = Im[\frac{1}{H[b]}] 
\]

(6.44)

have been utilized.
6.2 Noise estimation module

To compute (6.32), (6.33), (6.34), (6.35), (6.38) and (6.39), $H_a$ and $\sigma^2_{w_{eq}}$ are needed. The channel estimate $\hat{H}_a$ is used instead of $H_a$. About $\sigma^2_{w_{eq}}$, an estimation module has to be introduced in order to achieve the estimate $\hat{\sigma}^2_{w_{eq}}$. In Fig. 2.1 the module is indicated as NOISE ESTIMATION. In Fig. 6.2 the computation performed by the module is reported.

$\hat{A}_a$, the vector of symbols obtained by re-encoding and re-mapping the decoded output bitstream, while $\hat{H}_a$ is yielded by the channel estimation module. Note that, in case of perfect channel and symbol knowledge, form (4.47) follows

$$w_{eq} = u_a - H[b] \sum_{\delta b} \hat{a}_{b+\delta b}(n) * G_b(n, \delta b).$$  

$$\hat{\sigma}^2_{w_{eq}} = \sum_{i} \frac{|\hat{w}_{eq}[i]|^2}{N_a}$$

Figure 6.2: Noise estimation module.
Chapter 7

Results and conclusions

In this chapter main system parameters are summarized, simulation results are reported and conclusions are drawn.

7.1 Simulation results

All blocks reported in Fig. 2.1 have been implemented, according to the description given in the previous chapters and the specifications summarized in Table 7.1. Specifications regarding OFDM-CD3 are also reported. Coding specifications are reported in Table 7.2. For LDPC coding and decoding tasks, functions by the open source Iterative Solutions Coded Modulation Library (ISCML), [30], have been used.

Three constellation sizes have been addressed, namely 16 QAM, 64 QAM and 256 QAM. For each of them, the next generation DVB-T standard provides specific interleaver and demultiplexing patterns [8]. The system noise has been modeled as a circularly symmetric gaussian random process, whose power is denoted as $\sigma_w^2$. Equalization is accomplished by one tap equalizer for both systems.

We define the bit error rate (BER) as the transmission rate which gives an error probability of $10^{-6}$ or less divided by the bandwidth occupied. By simulation results, $\beta$ values have been found for each constellation size in order to minimize
## 7.1 Simulation results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OQAM</th>
<th>OFDM-CD3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total subcarriers (2N)</td>
<td>16384</td>
<td>16384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active subcarriers (Na)</td>
<td>13933</td>
<td>13921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual subcarriers (NV)</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>1375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic prefix fraction (Δ)</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{8}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel model</td>
<td>fixed reception channel (F1)</td>
<td>fixed reception channel (F1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling period (T)</td>
<td>$\frac{7}{64}$ µs</td>
<td>$\frac{7}{64}$ µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototype filter length</td>
<td>4N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p'<em>n$ length ($L</em>{p'_n}$)</td>
<td>56172</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_n$ length ($L_{p_n}$)</td>
<td>65534</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFDM symbols per frame</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>107 (16-256 QAM) 119 (64QAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVB-T channel bandwidth</td>
<td>8 Mhz</td>
<td>8 Mhz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codewords per frame 16-64-256 QAM</td>
<td>86-129-172</td>
<td>91-152-182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.1: Specifications for OQAM and OFDM-CD3 systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LDPC code rate</th>
<th>$K_{bch} (= N_u)$</th>
<th>$k_{ldpc}$</th>
<th>BCH t-correction</th>
<th>$N_{bch} - K_{bch}$</th>
<th>$n_{ldpc}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>32208</td>
<td>32400</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>64800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.2: Coding specifications.
7.2 Conclusions

Increasing $\beta$ has two main consequences: decreasing the channel estimation MSE and reducing the equivalent SNR, $\sigma_w^2 / \sigma_r^2$. Hence, it is worthwhile increasing $\beta$ only if through channel estimation improvement we recover effects of SNR worsening. The following values have been found: $\beta = 0.08$ for 16 QAM, $\beta = 0.1$ for 64 QAM, $\beta = 0.3$ for 256 QAM. Figs. 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 depict systems BER versus SNR for 16, 64 and 256 QAM, respectively. As shown, for 16 QAM and 64 QAM, to achieve the target bit error rate, almost the same SNR is required for both systems, namely about 7.4 dB and 12.1 dB, respectively. For 256 QAM, instead, OQAM system requires an SNR 0.5 dB higher than the one required by OFDM-CD3, given by $\Lambda = 16.5$ dB. From the latter results and the specifications given in the previous Tables, curves depicted in Fig. 7.4 and 7.5 are derived. Fig. 7.4 depicts the transmission rate in $Mbps$ versus SNR: 15.46, 23.18, 3.91 Mbps for OFDM/OQAM and 13.59, 20.4, 27.17 for OFDM-CD3. Corresponding spectral efficiencies, in $bps/Hz$ versus SNR, are reported in Fig. 7.5.

OFDM/OQAM system clearly outperforms OFDM-CD3, yielding transmission rates more then 13% higher for all SNR. Namely, improvements of 1.87 Mbps, 2.78 Mbps, 3.74 Mbps are achieved at about 7, 12, 17 dB. Moreover, at the same SNR, perfect synchronization for all the constellations is achieved.

7.2 Conclusions

In this work a OQAM based system for the next generation DVB-T standard has been proposed and its performance, in terms of spectral efficiency, has been compared to OFDM-CD3 performance. As far as concern coding, LDPC and BCH codes have been utilized, synchronization and channel estimation have been performed by a superimposed technique and a one tap MMSE equalization scheme has been adopted. As showed by simulation results, an improvement of more than 13% is achieved by OFDM/OQAM system, confirming, in a practical system, the expectation of a significant spectral efficiency improvement.
7.2 Conclusions

Figure 7.1: BER of 16 QAM OQAM and OFDM-CD3 systems.

Figure 7.2: BER of 64 QAM OQAM and OFDM-CD3 systems.
7.2 Conclusions

Figure 7.3: BER of 256 QAM OQAM and OFDM-CD3 systems.

Figure 7.4: Transmission rates of OQAM and OFDM-CD3 systems.
Figure 7.5: Spectral efficiencies of OQAM and OFDM-CD3 systems.
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